

Public Document Pack
Cyngor Bwrdeistref Sirol Pen-y-bont ar Ogwr
Bridgend County Borough Council



Swyddfeydd Dinesig, Stryd yr Angel, Pen-y-bont, CF31 4WB / Civic Offices, Angel Street, Bridgend, CF31 4WB

Rydym yn croesawu gohebiaeth yn Gymraeg. Rhowch wybod i ni os mai Cymraeg yw eich dewis iaith.

We welcome correspondence in Welsh. Please let us know if your language choice is Welsh.



Cyfarwyddiaeth y Prif Weithredwr / Chief Executive's Directorate
Deialu uniongyrchol / Direct line /: 01656 643148 / 643694 / 643513
Gofynnwch am / Ask for: Democratic Services

Ein cyf / Our ref:
Eich cyf / Your ref:

Dyddiad/Date: Thursday, 29 January 2026

Dear Councillor,

COUNCIL

A meeting of the Council will be held Hybrid in the Council Chamber - Civic Offices, Angel Street, Bridgend, CF31 4WB / remotely via Microsoft Teams on **Wednesday, 4 February 2026 at 16:00**.

AGENDA

1 Apologies for absence

To receive apologies for absence from Members.

2 Declarations of Interest

To receive declarations of personal and prejudicial interest from Members/Officers in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct adopted by Council from 1 September 2008.

3 Approval of Minutes

To receive for approval the minutes of 14/01/2026

5 - 14

4 To receive announcements from:

- (i) Mayor (or person presiding)
- (ii) Chief Executive

5 To receive announcements by the Leader

By receiving this Agenda Pack electronically you will save the Authority approx. £2.00 in printing costs

- 6 Capital Programme Quarter 3 Update 2025-26 15 - 32
- 7 Houses in Multiple Occupancy Supplementary Planning Guidance 33 - 96
- 8 Information Report for Noting 97 - 100
- 9 To receive the following Question from: Councillor Rhys Goode to the Cabinet Member for Education and Youth Services

What work is being done to combat growing instances of peer-on-peer sexual harassment and misogyny in Bridgend's i) Primary Schools, ii) Secondary Schools and iii) Specialist Settings?

- 10 To receive the following Question from: Councillor Ian Williams to the Leader

It was brought to my attention by a local business owner that on Tuesday 30/12/25 there were 4 parking enforcement officers in the town centre booking drivers who had mistakenly parked in the town centre because yet again the bollard was down and not working .

There is now a great deal of confusion around the ETO and who and what is allowed into the town centre when the bollard is down.

Can someone please outline what measures this authority has taken to ensure that Bridgend residents are aware of the restrictions that this council has imposed on drivers wanting to access the town centre not forgetting that many people don't access social media or the internet?

- 11 To receive the following Question from: Councillor Tim Thomas to the Cabinet Member Climate Change and the Environment

What is the council's commitment and ambition towards the quiet lanes scheme?

- 12 Urgent Items

To consider any item(s) of business in respect of which notice has been given in accordance with Part 4 (paragraph 4) of the Council Procedure Rules and which the person presiding at the meeting is of the opinion should by reason of special circumstances be transacted at the meeting as a matter of urgency.

- 13 Exclusion of the Public

The report relating to the following item is not for publication as it contains exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 14 and 16 of Part 4 and Paragraph 21 of Part 5 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information)(Variation)(Wales) Order 2007.

If following the application of the public interest test the Committee resolves pursuant to the Act to consider this item in private, the public will be excluded from the meeting during such consideration.

- 14 Bridgend Town Centre Strategic Property Acquisition

Note: This will be a Hybrid meeting and Members and Officers will be attending in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices, Angel Street Bridgend / Remotely via Microsoft Teams. The meeting will be recorded for subsequent transmission via the Council's internet site which will be available as soon as practicable after the meeting. If you would like to view this meeting live, please contact cabinet_committee@bridgend.gov.uk or tel. 01656 643148 / 643694 / 643513 / 643159.

Yours faithfully

K Watson

Chief Officer, Legal and Regulatory Services, HR and Corporate Policy

Councillors:

All Members

This page is intentionally left blank

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD HYBRID IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER CIVIC OFFICES, ANGEL STREET, BRIDGEND, CF31 4WB / REMOTELY VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS ON WEDNESDAY, 14 JANUARY 2026 AT 16:00

Present

Councillor HJ David – Chairperson

H T Bennett
JPD Blundell
M J Evans
H Griffiths
P W Jenkins
J Llewellyn-Hopkins
A Ulberini-Williams
E D Winstanley

A R Berrow
O Clatworthy
N Farr
GC Haines
M R John
RL Penhale-Thomas
HM Williams
R Collins

F D Bletsoe
P Davies
J Gebbie
M L Hughes
M Jones
R J Smith
I Williams
T Wood

S J Bletsoe
S Easterbrook
W R Goode
D M Hughes
W J Kendall
JC Spanswick
R Williams

Present Virtually

N Clarke
S J Griffiths
T Thomas
MJ Williams

CLC Davies
RM James
G Walter

C Davies
M Lewis
A Wathan

P Ford
J E Pratt
AJ Williams

Officers:

Kelly Watson
Jake Morgan
Claire Marchant
Carys Lord
Adam Provoost
Janine Nightingale
William Lane
Rachel Keepins

Chief Officer - Legal & Regulatory Services, HR & Corporate Policy
Chief Executive
Corporate Director – Social Services and Wellbeing
Chief Officer – Finance, Housing and Change
Strategic Planning and Transportation Manager
Corporate Director - Communities
Operational Manager – Shared Regulatory Services
Democratic Services Manager

This document is available in Welsh / Mae'r ddogfen hon ar gael yn Gymraeg

Mark Galvin
Michael Pitman
Oscar Roberts

Senior Democratic Services Officer – Committees
Technical Support Officer – Democratic Services
Temporary Democratic Services Officer – Committees

223. Apologies for absence

Decision Made	Apologies were received from Councillors David Harrison, Ian Spiller, Eugene Caparros, Sean Aspey, Richard Granville and Jefferson Tildesley.
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

224. Declarations of Interest

Decision Made	<p>The following declarations of interest were made:-</p> <p>Cllr Paul Davies declared a prejudicial interest in item 8 as a family member is in receipt of the reduction. Cllr Davies left the meeting while this item was being considered.</p> <p>Cllr John Spanswick declared a personal interest in item 8 as a close family member is in receipt of the reduction.</p> <p>Cllr Melanie Evans declared a personal interest in item 9 as a Pencoed Town Councillor.</p> <p>Cllr Melanie Evans declared a personal interest in item 12 as a ward member for Pencoed and Penprysg.</p> <p>Cllr Timothy Wood declared a personal interest in item 8 as he is in receipt of the 25% single occupancy discount.</p> <p>Cllr Mark John declared a prejudicial interest in item 8 as a close relative is in receipt of the reduction. Cllr John left the meeting while this item was being considered.</p> <p>Cllr Jane Gebbie declared a prejudicial interest in item 8 as she is in receipt of Council tax deduction. Cllr</p>
---------------	---

	<p>Gebbie left the meeting while this item was being considered.</p> <p>Cllr Gary Haines declared a personal interest in item 8 as he is in receipt of the 25% single occupancy discount.</p> <p>Cllr Malcolm James declared a personal interest in item 8 as a family member is in receipt of the reduction.</p> <p>Cllr Neelo Farr declared a personal interest in item 8.</p> <p>Cllr Richard Williams declared a personal interest in item 9 as a member of Pencoed Town Council.</p> <p>Cllr Richard Williams declared a personal interest in item 10 as a member of the Licensing Committee.</p> <p>Cllr Amanda Williams declared a personal interest in item 4 as Chair of Governors at Coity Primary School</p> <p>Cllr Elaine Winstanley declared a personal interest in item 4 as her employer, Awen Cultural Trust, works with Halo Leisure.</p>
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

225. Approval of Minutes

Decision Made	<u>RESOLVED:</u>	That the minutes of a meeting of Council dated 19 November 2025, be approved as a true and accurate record.
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026	

226. Presentation To Council by the Chief Executive Officer of Halo Leisure

Decision Made	The Chief Executive and Leader presented a report that introduced Scott Rolfe, the Chief Executive Officer of Halo Leisure, who gave a presentation outlining Halo’s role in the community, services offered by their leisure facilities and progress on initiatives to support the Bridgend County Borough.
---------------	--

	<p>The presentation detailed Halo Leisure’s wellbeing programmes offered to the local communities across their facilities, including their Access to Leisure scheme, their Feel Good for Life programme offering dementia-friendly activities to support older adults with dementia, loneliness and depression and autism-friendly swimming lessons for young people living with ASD or intensive needs.</p> <p>Following Mr Rolfe’s submission, the Mayor opened up questions, examples of which were as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commitments and plans to support assets held by Halo as a custodian going into the future. • Potential reductions in take-up due to transport costs or other associated factors. • Visions for the future. • Estimates for remedial work to leisure centres within the County Borough. • An explanation regarding ways that Halo Leisure can further encourage access to facilities for high-level athletes. • Any plans to expand provision or facilities to cover a wider range of activities. • Potential plans to move to an agency model. • Whether additional Town and Community Councils can participate and support schemes offered by Halo. • Collaboration possibilities with the Bridgend Youth Service. • How anti-social behaviour around Halo centre’s is treated. <p>The questions and queries raised above (as well as others) that Mr Rolfe could not sufficiently respond to, he confirmed that he would follow-up and respond to outside of the meeting.</p> <p>RESOLVED: That Council noted the presentation as referred to at paragraph 3.1 of the report.</p>
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

227. To receive announcements from:

Decision Made	<p>Announcements were received from the following Cabinet Members and Chief Executive (No decisions required/made):-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mayor;
---------------	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chief Executive
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

228. To receive announcements by the Leader

Decision Made	Announcements were received from the Leader (No decisions required/made).
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

229. Children's Residential Home

Decision Made	<p>The purpose of this report, presented by the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Social Services, Health and Wellbeing, was to seek Council approval for the inclusion of a capital budget of £1,620,450 in the Capital Programme for the proposed acquisition and refurbishment of a residential home to be registered with Care Inspectorate Wales (CIW) as a children's residential care home.</p> <p>Members posed questions on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether other suitable land could be used for the same purpose as the proposed property acquisition. Whether BCBC can claim relief on this property similar to other social landlords. Whether BCBC can mitigate any potential roadblocks to obtaining the funding. The reasoning behind this report coming before Council without first going through the Scrutiny process. The proposed property's price to acquire. Possible alternatives to better use the allocated funds. The possible creation of a set of rules and guidance around future similar investments so that a precedent can be established to have more details on any schemes such as this proposed in the future. Whether other buyers may attempt to purchase the property earmarked for the spend. The logic behind the quoted refurbishment price.
---------------	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether properties within new developments can be earmarked for similar proposals in the future. <p>These questions were addressed by the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Social Services, Health and Wellbeing, The Chief Executive, The Corporate Director – Social Services and Wellbeing, the Chief Officer – Finance, Housing and Change and the Cabinet Member for Finance and Performance.</p> <p>RESOLVED: That Council approved a capital budget of £1,620,450 be included in the Council’s Capital Programme for the acquisition and refurbishment of a children’s residential home to be initially funded from BCBC resources, on the assumption that some or all of the costs will be recovered via the Welsh Government Housing with Care Fund.</p>
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

230. Council Tax Reduction Scheme 2026-27

Decision Made	<p>The purpose of this report, presented by the Cabinet Member for Finance and Performance, was to provide Council with information regarding the proposed 2026-27 Council Tax Reduction (CTR) Scheme, and to seek Council approval to adopt this CTR scheme by 31 January 2026.</p> <p>RESOLVED: That Council noted the information in the report and adopted the Council Tax Reduction Scheme 2026-27 as set out in paragraphs 3.15 to 3.20 of this report.</p>
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

231. Outdoor Recreation Facilities Supplementary Planning Guidance

Decision Made	<p>The purpose of this report, presented by the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Economic Development and Housing, was to inform Council of the outcome of the public consultation exercise on the draft Outdoor Recreation Facilities Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) document following approval (with</p>
---------------	--

amendments) by Cabinet at its meeting on 23rd September 2025.

Members posed questions on:

- Potential expansions of Multiple Use Games Areas to parts of the County Borough.
- Recreation facilities agreed to be delivered in previously built or smaller housing residential sites.
- Methods of reassuring residents nearby to upcoming housing sites.

These questions were addressed by the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Economic Development and Housing, the Strategic Planning and Transportation Manager, the Leader and the Corporate Director – Communities

RESOLVED:

That Council:

- a. Noted the contents of the report and approved the officer's consultation responses to the representations received in response to the public consultation on the draft Outdoor Recreation Facilities SPG, together with resultant amendments set out in the attached Appendix 2 to the report.
- b. Approved the final form Outdoor Recreation Facilities SPG (Appendix 1) and agreed its adoption for immediate application as a material consideration in making development management decisions and in the determination of planning applications along with the adopted RLDP, subject to the provisions of resolutions 'c', 'd' and 'e'.
- c. Authorised the Corporate Director - Communities and Group Manager - Planning and Development Services to make minor presentational changes, typographical or factual corrections as necessary prior to publication of the adopted Outdoor Recreation Facilities SPG.
- d. Authorised the Corporate Director – Communities and Group Manager – Planning and Development Services to update, as necessary, the web links to external best practice guidance documents listed under the 'Design Guidance by Outdoor Recreation Facility Typology' heading in Section 7.0 of the Outdoor Recreation Facilities SPG, to ensure they remain current and accurate.
- e. Authorised the Corporate Director – Communities and Group Manager – Planning and Development Services to update the costs set out in Table 1 (page 11), Appendix A and Appendix B of the Outdoor Recreation Facilities SPG periodically to ensure such costs remain up-to-date and reflective of current costs.

Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

232. A Review of the Statement of Licensing Policy

Decision Made	<p>The purpose of this report, presented by the Cabinet Member for Finance and Performance, was to ask Council to approve the publication of the revised Statement of Licensing Policy detailed in Annex A of the report.</p> <p>Members posed a question on potential mitigation of proposed changes to licensing requirements for music and/or dancing in Late Night establishments, in particular for reasons to reduce any noise to residential areas. This question was addressed by the Cabinet Member for Finance and Performance and the Operational Manager – Shared Regulatory Services.</p> <p><u>RESOLVED:</u> That Council approved the draft Statement of Licensing Policy detailed at Appendix A of the report.</p>
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

233. Information Report for Noting

Decision Made	<p>The purpose of this report, presented by the Monitoring Officer, was to inform Council of the Information Report for noting that has been published since its last scheduled meeting. The only report published since the last meeting of Council was the Audited Statement of Accounts 2024-25, appended to the report.</p> <p><u>RESOLVED:</u> That Council acknowledges the publication of the report referred to in paragraph 3.1 of the cover report.</p>
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

234. To receive the following Questions from: Councillor

Page 13

Decision Made	Councillor Alex Ulberini-Williams to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Economic Development and Housing (response previously circulated to Members). A supplementary question was asked by Councillor Ulberini-Williams which was responded to at the meeting. Councillor Tim Thomas to the Cabinet Member for Climate Change & the Environment (response previously circulated to Members). A supplementary question was asked by Councillor Thomas which was responded to at the meeting.
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

235. Urgent Items

Decision Made	There were no urgent items.
Date Decision Made	14 January 2026

To observe further debate that took place on the above items, please click this [link](#)

The meeting closed at 18:58.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6

Meeting of:	COUNCIL
Date of Meeting:	4 FEBRUARY 2026
Report Title:	CAPITAL PROGRAMME QUARTER 3 UPDATE 2025-26
Report Owner / Responsible Chief Officer / Cabinet Member	CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE CHIEF OFFICER – FINANCE, HOUSING AND CHANGE
Responsible Officer:	HUW POWELL CAPITAL ACCOUNTANT
Policy Framework and Procedure Rules:	Paragraph 3.5.3 of the Financial Procedure Rules requires that the Chief Finance Officer shall report quarterly to Cabinet and Council with an update on the Capital Strategy and the Prudential Indicators. This report fulfils that requirement. There is no impact on the policy framework or procedure rules.
Executive Summary:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The report provides an update on the quarter 3 spend and projected spend for 2025-26 as at 31 December 2025, the revised capital programme for 2025-26 to 2034-35 and the projected Prudential and Other Indicators for 2025-26. • Appendix A shows the budgets, spend to date and projected year end spend as at 31 December 2025 for the individual schemes in 2025-26. • Appendix B shows the revised capital programme for 2025-26 to 2034-35. • Appendix C provides details of the actual Prudential and Other Indicators for 2024-25 and projected Prudential and Other Indicators for 2025-26.

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to:

- Comply with the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's (CIPFA) 'The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities' requirement to report performance against all forward looking indicators on a quarterly basis.
- Provide an update to Council on the capital programme position for 2025-26 as at 31 December 2025 (**Appendix A**).

- Ask Council to note the net slippage of £3.392 million into 2026-27 as detailed in **Appendix B**.
- Seek Council's approval for the virements between schemes as detailed in **Appendix B**.
- Seek Council's approval of the new additions /reductions to the capital programme in 2025 totalling £1.888 million as outlined in **Appendix B**.
- Ask Council to note the actual Prudential and Other Indicators for 2024-25 and the projected Prudential and Other Indicators for 2025-26 (**Appendix C**).

2. Background

- 2.1 The Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (Wales) Regulations 2003 as amended, contain detailed provisions for the capital finance and accounting controls, including the rules on the use of capital receipts and what is to be treated as capital expenditure. They modify accounting practice in various ways to prevent adverse impacts on authorities' revenue resources.
- 2.2 As well as the legislation, the Council manages its Treasury Management and Capital activities in accordance with the following associated guidance: -
- CIPFA's Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice
 - CIPFA's The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities
 - Welsh Government (WG) revised Guidance on Local Authority Investments
- 2.3 The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities requires Local Authorities to have in place a Capital Strategy which demonstrates that the Authority takes capital expenditure and investment decisions in line with service objectives and properly takes account of stewardship, value for money, prudence, sustainability, and affordability. To demonstrate that the Council has fulfilled these objectives, the Prudential Code sets out a number of Indicators that must be set and monitored each year. The Council's Capital Strategy 2025-26, incorporating the Prudential Indicators for 2025-26, was approved by Council on 26 February 2025.
- 2.4 On 26 February 2025 Council approved a capital budget of £124.863 million for 2025-26 as part of a capital programme covering the period 2025-26 to 2034-35. The programme was last updated and approved by Council on 19 November 2025 with a revised budget of £78.247 million.

3. Current situation / proposal

3.1 Capital Programme Quarter 3 Update 2025-26

- 3.1.1 This section of the report provides Members with an update on the Council's capital programme for 2025-26 since the budget was last approved by Council and incorporates any new schemes and grant approvals. The revised programme for 2025-26 currently totals £76.743 million, of which £39.337 million is met from Bridgend County Borough Council (BCBC) resources, including capital receipts, revenue contributions from earmarked reserves and borrowing, with the remaining £37.406 million coming from external resources, including Welsh Government General Capital Grant. Table 1 below shows the capital programme for each Directorate from the November 2025 approved Council position to Quarter 3:

Table 1 – Capital Programme per Directorate 2025-26

Directorate	Approved Council November 2025 £'000	New Approvals/ (Reductions) £'000	Virements £'000	Slippage to future years £'000	Revised Budget 2025-26 £'000
Education, Early Years and Young People (EEYYP)	23,542	726	-	-	24,268
Social Services and Wellbeing	1,036	-	1,120	-	2,156
Communities	45,716	1,162	-	(3,392)	43,486
Chief Executive's	5,964	-	-	-	5,964
Council Wide	1,989	-	(1,120)	-	869
Total	78,247	1,888	-	(3,392)	76,743

3.1.2 Table 2 below summarises the current funding assumptions for the capital programme for 2025-26. The capital resources are managed to ensure that maximum financial benefit for the Council is achieved. This may include the realignment of funding to maximise government grants.

Table 2 – Capital Programme 2025-26 Resources

CAPITAL RESOURCES	£'000
<i>BCBC Resources:</i>	
Capital Receipts	11,494
Earmarked Reserves	13,281
Unsupported Borrowing	7,035
Supported Borrowing	3,810
Other Loans	3,385
Revenue Contribution	332
Total BCBC Resources	39,337
<i>External Resources:</i>	
S106	2,152
Grants	35,254
Total External Resources	37,406
TOTAL RESOURCES	76,743

- 3.1.3 **Appendix A** provides details of the individual schemes within the capital programme, showing the budget available in 2025-26 compared to the projected year end spend at 31 December 2025. There are currently no projected under or over spends on any of the schemes at year end.
- 3.1.4 One scheme has been identified as requiring slippage of budget to future years (2026-27 and beyond).

Porthcawl Grand Pavilion (£3.392 million)

The contractor commenced work on site in August 2025 and an updated projected cashflow has been provided for the scheme through to completion, which is projected to be Autumn 2028. In line with the revised cashflow £3.392 million has been slipped for use in 2026-27.

- 3.1.5 There are a number of amendments to the capital programme for 2025-26, such as new and amended schemes, since the capital programme was last approved, including:

School Capital Maintenance Grant (£0.726 million)

Welsh Government has awarded the Council an additional £0.726 million from the Sustainable Communities for Learning Programme Repairs and Maintenance Grant. The funding will support capital maintenance work and will assist in reducing revenue costs by improving energy efficiency and performance of the school buildings.

Children's Residential Home (£1.120 million)

On 14 January 2026, Council approved £1.620 million to be included in the Council's Capital Programme for the acquisition and refurbishment of a children's residential home. The budget will initially be funded from BCBC resources, on the assumption that some or all the costs will be recovered via the Welsh Government Housing with Care Fund. £1.120 million will be added in 2025-26 to fund the acquisition costs, with the remaining £0.500 million added in 2026-27 to fund refurbishment works.

Community Play Areas (£0.382 million)

Following a review of s106 developer contributions, £0.382 million has been added to the Community Play Areas budget to fund the ongoing refurbishment works at play areas in the County Borough.

Hillsboro South Public Realm (£0.600 million)

The Council has been awarded £0.600 million from Welsh Government's Transforming Towns Grant to part fund public realm works in Hillsboro South, Porthcawl. The purpose of the funding is to create a high-quality events space to enhance Porthcawl as a destination, which will improve the connection between Porthcawl town centre and the Waterfront. The project will create open space with a range of characteristics from flexible, all-weather hard public realm to softer green space. The total budget for the scheme is £1.203 million, with BCBC's match funding of £0.603 million vired from the existing Porthcawl Regeneration budget.

Low Carbon Heat (£0.200 million)

Welsh Government's Energy Service has awarded the Council £0.180 million from the 2025-26 Low Carbon Heat Grant to install energy efficient heating and hot water systems at Abercerdin Primary's Sports Block and Civic Offices. The total cost of the

works is estimated to be £0.200 million, with the Council's contribution of £0.020 million vired from the existing 2030 Decarbonisation budget.

3.1.6 A revised Capital Programme is included as **Appendix B**.

3.2 Prudential and Other Indicators 2025-26 Monitoring

3.2.1 The Capital Strategy is intended to give an overview of how capital expenditure; capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services along with an overview of how associated risk is managed and the implications for future sustainability. To this end a number of prudential indicators were included in the Capital Strategy which was approved by Council in February 2025. In line with the requirements of the Prudential Code, the Chief Finance Officer is required to establish procedures to monitor both performance against all forward-looking prudential indicators and the requirement specified.

3.2.2 In February 2025, Council approved the Capital Strategy for 2025-26, which included the Prudential Indicators for 2025-26.

3.2.3 **Appendix C** details the actual indicators for 2024-25, the estimated indicators for 2025-26 set out in the Council's Capital Strategy and the projected indicators for 2025-26 based on the revised Capital Programme. These show that the Council is operating in line with the approved indicators.

4. Equality implications (including Socio-economic Duty and Welsh Language)

4.1 The protected characteristics identified within the Equality Act, Socio-economic Duty, and the impact on the use of the Welsh Language have been considered in the preparation of this report. As a public body in Wales the Council must consider the impact of strategic decisions, such as the development or the review of policies, strategies, services, and functions. It is considered that there will be no significant or unacceptable equality impacts as a result of this report.

5. Well-being of Future Generations implications and connection to Corporate Well-being Objectives

5.1 The Act provides the basis for driving a different kind of public service in Wales, with five ways of working to guide how public services should work to deliver for people. The well-being objectives are designed to complement each other and are part of an integrated way of working to improve well-being for the people of Bridgend. It is considered that there will be no significant or unacceptable impacts upon the achievement of the well-being goals or objectives as a result of this report.

6. Climate Change and Nature Implications

6.1 These are reflected within the report where relevant to specific schemes.

7. Safeguarding and Corporate Parent Implications

7.1 There are no safeguarding and corporate parent implications arising from this report.

8. Financial Implications

8.1 These are reflected within the report.

9. Recommendations

9.1 It is recommended that Council:

- notes the Council's Capital Programme 2025-26 Quarter 3 update to 31 December 2025 (**Appendix A**)
- notes the slippage of £3.392 million to 2026-27 as detailed in **Appendix B**.
- approves the virements between schemes as detailed in **Appendix B**.
- approves the new additions/reductions to the capital programme in 2025-26 totalling £1.888 million as outlined in **Appendix B**.
- notes the actual Prudential and Other Indicators for 2024-25 and projected indicators for 2025-26 (**Appendix C**).

Background documents

None

Bridgend County Borough Council

CAPITAL MONITORING REPORT

QUARTER 3 TO 31 DECEMBER 2025

APPENDIX A

	Budget 25-26 (Council Nov 25)	New Approvals and Adjustments	Virement	Slipped (to)/ from Future Years	Revised Budget 2025-26	Total Expenditure to Date	Projected Spend	Over / (Under) Spend	Impact on BCBC Resources
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000

Education, Early Years and Young People

1	HIGHWAYS SCHEMES BAND B SCHOOL	100	-	-	-	100	28	100	-	-
2	HERONSBRIDGE REPLACEMENT	1,733	-	-	-	1,733	514	1,733	-	-
3	MYNYDD CYNFFIG REPLACEMENT	227	-	-	-	227	74	227	-	-
4	Y G BRO OGWR REPLACEMENT	725	-	-	-	725	94	725	-	-
5	BRIDGEND WEST	2,976	-	-	-	2,976	336	2,976	-	-
6	YSGOL GYFYN GYMRAEG LLANGYNWYD	94	-	-	-	94	4	94	-	-
7	LAND PURCHASE BAND B SCHOOLS	2,026	-	-	-	2,026	15	2,026	-	-
8	GARW VALLEY SOUTH PRIMARY PROVISION	61	-	-	-	61	(4)	61	-	-
9	PENCOED PRIMARY SCHOOL BAND A	51	-	-	-	51	-	51	-	-
10	ABERCERDIN PRIMARY HUB	276	-	-	-	276	3	276	-	-
11	BRYNTEG COMPREHENSIVE ALL WEATHER PITCH	26	-	-	-	26	5	26	-	-
12	EEYYP MINOR WORKS	567	-	-	-	567	476	567	-	-
13	SCHOOLS TRAFFIC SAFETY	50	-	-	-	50	2	50	-	-
14	SCHOOL MODERNISATION	333	-	-	-	333	-	333	-	-
15	PENCOED PRIMARY SCHOOL EXTENSION	-	-	-	-	-	(58)	-	-	-
16	COETY PRIMARY SCHOOL EXTENSION	2,476	-	-	-	2,476	87	2,476	-	-
17	BRYNTIRION COMPREHENSIVE NEW CLASSROOMS	695	-	-	-	695	680	695	-	-
18	BRYNTIRION COMP HIGHWAYS	66	-	-	-	66	(3)	66	-	-
19	SCHOOLS CAPITAL MAINTENANCE GRANT	4,180	726	-	-	4,906	1,796	4,906	-	-
20	WELSH MEDIUM GRANT - BRIDGEND	550	-	-	-	550	-	550	-	-
21	WELSH MEDIUM GRANT - PORTHCAWL	550	-	-	-	550	-	550	-	-
22	FREE SCHOOL MEALS	575	-	-	-	575	363	575	-	-
23	COMMUNITY FOCUSED SCHOOLS	1,663	-	-	-	1,663	331	1,663	-	-
24	ALN CAPITAL GRANT	1,580	-	-	-	1,580	250	1,580	-	-
25	YSGOL GYMRAEG BRO OGWR MOBILE CLASSROOMS	436	-	-	-	436	412	436	-	-
26	PORTHCAWL WELSH MEDIUM SEEDLING SCHOOL	181	-	-	-	181	205	181	-	-
27	FLYING START EXTENSION - NANTYMOEL PRIMARY	569	-	-	-	569	9	569	-	-
28	FLYING START HIGHWAYS	36	-	-	-	36	-	36	-	-
29	BRYNTIRION COMPREHENSIVE 3G PITCH	740	-	-	-	740	-	740	-	-
	TOTAL Education, Early Years and Young People	23,542	726	-	-	24,268	5,619	24,268	-	-

Social Services and Wellbeing

30	BRYN Y CAE - UPGRADE HFE'S	40	-	-	-	40	-	40	-	-
31	TY CWM OGWR	23	-	-	-	23	-	23	-	-
32	WELLBEING MINOR WORKS	242	-	-	-	242	66	242	-	-
33	BAKERS WAY MINOR WORKS	10	-	-	-	10	-	10	-	-
34	CHILDRENS RESIDENTIAL HUB	18	-	-	-	18	4	18	-	-
35	CHILDRENS RESIDENTIAL HOME	-	-	1,120	-	1,120	-	1,120	-	-

	Budget 25-26 (Council Nov 25)	New Approvals and Adjustments	Virement	Slipped (to)/ from Future Years	Revised Budget 2025-26	Total Expenditure to Date	Projected Spend	Over / (Under) Spend	Impact on BCBC Resources
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
36 COMMUNITY CENTRES	117	-	-	-	117	(2)	117	-	-
37 BRYNGARW HOUSE	8	-	-	-	8	-	8	-	-
38 ALL WALES PLAY OPPORTUNITIES	231	-	-	-	231	205	231	-	-
39 BRIDGEND LIFE CENTRE	272	-	-	-	272	-	272	-	-
40 BRACKLA SPORTS CENTRE	75	-	-	-	75	-	75	-	-
TOTAL Social Services & Wellbeing	1,036	-	1,120	-	2,156	273	2,156	-	-

Communities

Street Scene

41 COMMUNITY PLAY AREAS	2,361	382	-	-	2,743	940	2,743	-	-
42 PARKS/PAVILIONS/OTHER COMMUNITY ASSET TRANSFERS	1,054	-	-	-	1,054	249	1,054	-	-
43 ABERFIELDS PLAYFIELDS	11	-	-	-	11	-	11	-	-
44 CITY DEAL	175	-	-	-	175	-	175	-	-
45 COYCHURCH CREM WORKS	22	-	-	-	22	-	22	-	-
46 REMEDIAL MEASURES - CAR PARKS	135	-	-	-	135	11	135	-	-
47 CIVIL PARKING ENFORCEMENT CAR	54	-	-	-	54	-	54	-	-
48 20 MPH DEFAULT SPEED	209	-	-	-	209	75	209	-	-
49 ROAD SAFETY SCHEMES	3	-	-	-	3	(2)	3	-	-
50 HIGHWAYS STRUCTURAL WORKS	394	-	-	-	394	234	394	-	-
51 CARRIAGEWAY CAPITAL WORKS	302	-	-	-	302	150	302	-	-
52 PROW CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT STRUCTURES	50	-	-	-	50	20	50	-	-
53 HIGHWAYS REFURBISHMENT	1,014	-	-	-	1,014	1,129	1,014	-	-
54 REPLACEMENT OF STREET LIGHTING	519	-	-	-	519	91	519	-	-
55 RIVER BRIDGE PROTECTION MEASURES	22	-	-	-	22	-	22	-	-
56 COMMUNITIES MINOR WORKS	582	-	-	-	582	110	582	-	-
57 ULEV TRANSFORMATION FUND 2	111	-	-	-	111	-	111	-	-
58 FLEET TRANSITION-ULEV	54	-	-	-	54	(11)	54	-	-
59 NET ZERO CARBON FLEET	147	-	-	-	147	-	147	-	-
60 PORTHCAWL METRO LINK (CCR)	19	-	-	-	19	(50)	19	-	-
61 RESIDENTS PARKING BRIDGEND TOWN CENTRE	109	-	-	-	109	3	109	-	-
62 FLEET VEHICLES	1,971	-	-	-	1,971	751	1,971	-	-
63 CEMETERIES	314	-	-	-	314	2	314	-	-
64 S106 HIGHWAYS SMALL SCHEMES	35	-	-	-	35	4	35	-	-
65 ROAD SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	67	-	-	-	67	(9)	67	-	-
66 COAL TIP SAFETY	1,795	-	-	-	1,795	113	1,795	-	-
67 GRASS CUTTING EQUIPMENT	101	-	-	-	101	30	101	-	-
68 WASTE VEHICLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
69 HIGHWAYS MAINTENANCE LGBI	2,908	-	-	-	2,908	2,716	2,908	-	-
70 RESILIENT ROADS	494	-	-	-	494	-	494	-	-
71 BRIDGEND BUS STATION	186	-	-	-	186	56	186	-	-
TOTAL Streetscene	15,218	382	-	-	15,600	6,612	15,600	-	-

	Budget 25-26 (Council Nov 25)	New Approvals and Adjustments	Virement	Slipped (to)/ from Future Years	Revised Budget 2025-26	Total Expenditure to Date	Projected Spend	Over / (Under) Spend	Impact on BCBC Resources
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000

Regeneration & Development

72	EU CONVERGANCE SRF BUDGET	436	-	-	-	436	18	436	-	-
73	PORTHCAWL REGENERATION PROJECT	2,827	-	(603)	-	2,224	254	2,224	-	-
74	ECONOMIC STIMULUS GRANT	315	-	-	-	315	72	315	-	-
75	COASTAL RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	184	-	-	-	184	19	184	-	-
76	EWENNY ROAD INDUSTRIAL ESTATE	3,485	-	-	-	3,485	3,451	3,485	-	-
77	CESP/ARBED PHASE 1	2,123	-	-	-	2,123	70	2,123	-	-
78	BRIDGEND HEAT SCHEME	3,265	-	-	-	3,265	-	3,265	-	-
79	MAESTEG TOWN HALL CULTURAL HUB	61	-	-	-	61	(117)	61	-	-
80	TOWN & COMMUNITY COUNCIL FUND	183	-	-	-	183	14	183	-	-
81	PORTHCAWL TOWNSCAPE HERITAGE INITIATIVE	89	-	-	-	89	-	89	-	-
82	COMMERCIAL PROPERTY ENHANCEMENT FUND	134	-	-	-	134	-	134	-	-
83	URBAN CENTRE PROPERTY ENHANCE	650	-	-	-	650	252	650	-	-
84	2030 DECARBONISATION	604	-	(20)	-	584	243	584	-	-
85	SHARED PROSPERITY FRAMEWORK	3,077	-	-	-	3,077	2,009	3,077	-	-
86	LOCAL PLACES FOR NATURE	367	-	-	-	367	12	367	-	-
87	PORTHCAWL GRAND PAVILION	7,892	-	-	(3,392)	4,500	2,139	4,500	-	-
88	PRIDE IN PLACE IMPACT FUND	1,500	-	-	-	1,500	-	1,500	-	-
89	HILLSBORO SOUTH PUBLIC REALM	-	600	603	-	1,203	-	1,203	-	-
90	LOW CARBON HEAT	-	180	20	-	200	-	200	-	-
	TOTAL Regeneration & Development	27,192	780	-	-	24,580	8,436	24,580	-	-

Corporate Landlord

91	DDA WORKS	198	-	-	-	198	6	198	-	-
92	MINOR WORKS	2,192	-	-	-	2,192	79	2,192	-	-
93	FIRE PRECAUTIONS MINOR WORKS	107	-	-	-	107	65	107	-	-
94	BRYNCETHIN DEPOT FACILITIES	272	-	-	-	272	3	272	-	-
95	WATERTON UPGRADE	490	-	-	-	490	10	490	-	-
96	INVESTING IN COMMUNITIES	47	-	-	-	47	-	47	-	-
	TOTAL Corporate Landlord	3,306	-	-	-	3,306	163	3,306	-	-

TOTAL Communities

45,716	1,162	-	(3,392)	43,486	15,211	43,486	-	-
---------------	--------------	----------	----------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	----------	----------

Chief Executive

97	MANDATORY DFG RELATED EXPEND	1,750	-	-	-	1,750	1,577	1,750	-	-
98	DISCRETIONARY HOUSING GRANTS	200	-	-	-	200	24	200	-	-
99	HOUSING RENEWAL AREA	100	-	-	-	100	53	100	-	-
100	ENABLE GRANT	382	-	-	-	382	195	382	-	-
101	HOMELESSNESS AND HOUSING	530	-	-	-	530	-	530	-	-
102	HEALTH & WELLBEING VILLAGE	480	-	-	-	480	-	480	-	-
103	AFFORDABLE HOUSING	802	-	-	-	802	353	802	-	-
104	ENFORCEMENT FUND 1	250	-	-	-	250	3	250	-	-

	Budget 25-26 (Council Nov 25)	New Approvals and Adjustments	Virement	Slipped (to)/ from Future Years	Revised Budget 2025-26	Total Expenditure to Date	Projected Spend	Over / (Under) Spend	Impact on BCBC Resources
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
105 ENFORCEMENT FUND 2	22	-	-	-	22	-	22	-	-
106 ENFORCEMENT FUND 3	75	-	-	-	75	1	75	-	-
107 MULTI PROJECT ENFORCEMENT FUND	100	-	-	-	100	-	100	-	-
TOTAL Housing/Homelessness	4,691	-	-	-	4,691	2,206	4,691	-	-
108 ICT INFRA SUPPORT	452	-	-	-	452	76	452	-	-
109 DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION	597	-	-	-	597	-	597	-	-
110 CCTV SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 ICT DATA CENTRE REPLACEMENT	39	-	-	-	39	-	39	-	-
112 HWB SCHOOLS IT	185	-	-	-	185	118	185	-	-
TOTAL ICT	1,273	-	-	-	1,273	194	1,273	-	-
TOTAL Chief Executive	5,964	-	-	-	5,964	2,400	5,964	-	-
Council Wide Capital Budgets									
113 CORPORATE CAPITAL FUND	773	-	-	-	773	-	773	-	-
114 UNALLOCATED	1,216	-	(1,120)	-	96	-	96	-	-
	1,989	-	(1,120)	-	869	-	869	-	-
GRAND TOTAL	78,247	1,888	-	(3,392)	76,743	23,503	76,743	-	-

This page is intentionally left blank

PRUDENTIAL AND OTHER INDICATORS 2024-25 and 2025-26

The Prudential Indicators are required to be set and approved by Council in accordance with CIPFA’s Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities.

Table 1 shows the 2024-25 actual capital expenditure, the capital programme approved by Council on 26 February 2025 and the projected capital expenditure for the current financial year which has incorporated slippage of schemes from 2024-25 together with any new grants and contributions or changes in the profile of funding for 2025-26.

Table 1: Prudential Indicator: Estimates of Capital Expenditure

	2024-25 Actual £m	2025-26 Estimate (Council Feb 25) £m	2025-26 Projection £m
Council Fund services	29.331	124.863	76.743
Right of Use Assets	3.925	-	0.351
TOTAL	33.256	124.863	77.094

All capital expenditure must be financed, either from external sources (government grants and other contributions), the Council’s own resources (revenue, reserves and capital receipts) or debt (the ‘net financing requirement’ - borrowing, leasing and Private Finance Initiative). The planned financing of the expenditure has been projected as follows:

Table 2: Capital financing

	2024-25 Actual £m	2025-26 Estimate (Council Feb 25) £m	2025-26 Projection £m
External sources	20.706	72.610	37.406
Own resources	2.858	34.907	25.107
Net Financing Requirement	9.692	17.346	14.581
TOTAL	33.256	124.863	77.094

The net financing requirement is only a temporary source of finance, since loans and leases must be repaid, and this is therefore replaced over time by other financing, usually from revenue which is known as the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP). As well as MRP, the Council makes additional voluntary revenue contributions to pay off Prudential or Unsupported Borrowing. The total of these are shown in Table 3 below:

Table 3: Replacement of debt finance

	2024-25 Actual £m	2025-26 Estimate (Council Feb 25) £m	2025-26 Projection £m
Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP)	1.200	1.149	1.198
Additional Voluntary Revenue Provision (VRP)	1.870	2.243	2.429
Total MRP & VRP	3.070	3.392	3.627
Other MRP on Long term Liabilities	1.239	1.232	1.359
Total Own Resources	4.309	4.624	4.986

The Council's cumulative outstanding amount of debt finance is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). This increases with new debt-financed capital expenditure and reduces by the MRP amount within the year. Based on the above figures for expenditure and financing, the Council's actual CFR is as follows based on the movement on capital expenditure during the year:

Table 4: Prudential Indicator: Estimates of Capital Financing Requirement

	2024-25 Actual £m	2025-26 Estimate – Capital Strategy £m	2025-26 Projection £m
Capital Financing Requirement			
Opening CFR excluding PFI & other liabilities	162.745	166.329	165.442
Opening PFI & other leases CFR	12.974	14.975	15.670
Total opening CFR	175.719	181.304	181.112
Movement in CFR excluding PFI & other liabilities	(0.981)	13.954	10.954
Movement in PFI and other long term leases CFR	6.356	(1.232)	(1.359)
Total movement in CFR	5.375	12.722	9.595
Closing CFR	181.094	194.026	190.707
Movement in CFR represented by:			
Net financing need for year (Table 2 above)	9.604	17.346	14.581
Minimum and voluntary revenue provisions	(3.070)	(3.392)	(3.627)
MRP on PFI and other long term leases (Table 3)	(1.159)	(1.232)	(1.359)
Total movement	5.375	12.722	9.595

The capital borrowing need (Capital Financing Requirement) has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This is known as Internal Borrowing. Projected levels of the Council's total outstanding debt, which comprises of borrowing, PFI and Other Long Term Liabilities, are shown below compared with the Capital Financing Requirement:

Table 5: Prudential Indicator: Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement

	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26
	Actual	Estimate	Projection
	£m	(Council Feb 25)	£m
	£m	£m	£m
Debt (incl. PFI & leases)	119.412	103.428	112.152
Capital Financing Requirement	181.094	194.026	190.707

Statutory guidance is that debt should remain below the capital financing requirement, except in the short-term. As can be seen, the Council expects to comply with this in the medium term.

The Council is legally obliged to set an affordable borrowing limit (also termed the authorised limit for external debt) each year. In line with statutory guidance, a lower "operational boundary" is also set as a warning level should debt approach the limit.

Table 6: Prudential Indicators: Authorised limit and operational boundary for external debt in £m

	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26
	Actual	Estimate	Projection
	£m	(Council Feb 25)	£m
	£m	£m	£m
Authorised limit – borrowing	170.000	170.000	170.000
Authorised limit – other long term liabilities	25.000	25.000	25.000
Authorised Limit Total	195.000	195.000	195.000
Operational boundary – borrowing	120.000	140.000	140.000
Operational boundary – other long term liabilities	20.000	20.000	20.000
Operational Boundary Limit Total	140.000	160.000	160.000
Total Borrowing and Long Term Liabilities	119.460	103.428	112.152

Although capital expenditure is not charged directly to the revenue budget, interest payable on loans and MRP are charged to revenue, offset by any investment income receivable. The net annual charge is known as financing costs; this is compared to the net revenue stream i.e. the amount funded from Council Tax, business rates and general government grants

Table 7: Prudential Indicator: Proportion of financing costs to net revenue stream

	2024-25 Actual £m	2025-26 Estimate (Council Feb 25) £m	2025-26 Projection £m
Capital Financing Central	5.142	5.065	6.456
Other Financing costs	0.945	0.869	1.078
TOTAL FINANCING COSTS	6.087	5.934	7.534
Proportion of net revenue stream	1.94%	1.55%	1.99%

This shows that in 2025-26, it is forecast that 1.99% of the Council's net revenue income will be spent on paying back the costs of capital expenditure.

The net revenue stream is calculated as the income from Welsh Government Revenue Support Grant plus Council Tax and Non-Domestic Rates, less Police and Community Council precepts.

The table below shows the Prudential Indicator of estimates of net income from commercial and service investments to net revenue stream.

Table 8: Prudential Indicator: Net Income from Commercial and Service Investments to Net Revenue Stream

	2024-25 Actual £m	2025-26 Estimate £m	2025-26 Projection £m
Net Revenue Budget	361.283	383.226	383.226
Income from Commercial Investments	0.459	0.459	0.459
% Ratio	0.13%	0.12%	0.12%

The income receivable from the commercial property portfolio is not deemed to be a financial resilience risk in terms of being 'disproportionate' to the Council's overall income.

Meeting of:	COUNCIL
Date of Meeting:	4 FEBRUARY 2026
Report Title:	HOUSES IN MULTIPLE OCCUPATION SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING GUIDANCE
Report Owner: Cabinet Member / Responsible Chief Officer	CABINET MEMBER FOR REGENERATION, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING CORPORATE DIRECTOR - COMMUNITIES
Responsible Officer:	JACK DANGERFIELD SENIOR STRATEGIC PLANNING POLICY OFFICER
Policy Framework and Procedure Rules:	There is no impact on the policy framework or procedure rules.
Executive Summary:	The purpose of this report is to provide Council with an overview of the public consultation exercise on the draft Houses in Multiple Occupation Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) document. It also seeks Council approval to adopt the final form of the Houses in Multiple Occupation SPG together with the supporting 2-page guidance note. Adoption of this SPG will enable effective implementation of the Houses in Multiple Occupation policy within the adopted Replacement Local Development Plan (RLDP), the Council’s statutory land-use planning document.

1. Purpose of this report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to inform Council of the outcome of the public consultation exercise on the draft ‘Houses in Multiple Occupation (**HMO**) Supplementary Planning Guidance’ (**SPG**) document.
- 1.2 The report also seeks Council approval to adopt the final form HMO SPG (**Appendix 1**), in order to support the implementation of Policy *COM7: Houses in Multiple Occupation* of the adopted Replacement Local Development Plan (**RLDP**, March 2024), subject to any necessary minor changes necessary prior to its publication.

Lastly, the report seeks Council approval of the two-page guidance note attached as **Appendix 3**.

2. Background

- 2.1 The adopted RLDP plays a key role in enabling sustainable, mixed and balanced communities, including the development of HMOs. The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) (**Use Classes Order 1987**) distinguishes between two types of HMO:
- **‘Small HMOs’ (Use Class C4)**: properties occupied by **3 to 6 unrelated people** sharing basic amenities; and
 - **‘Large HMOs’ (Unique Use or ‘Sui Generis’)**: properties with **more than 6 unrelated people** sharing basic amenities.
- 2.2 Prior to February 2016, planning permission was only required for large HMOs. Since that date, all HMOs require planning permission whether they accommodate 3-6 people (C4) or 7+ people (Sui Generis), as long as the occupants do not form a single household.
- 2.3 The definition of a ‘*Small HMO*’ under Use Class ‘C4’ aligns with the definition of an HMO in Section 254 of the Housing Act 2004 (**HA 2004**). Likewise, the definition of a single household in Use Class C3(a) (dwellinghouses) of the Use Classes Order 1987 aligns with Section 258 of the HA 2004, which explains when people are or are not considered to be part of the same household or family.
- 2.4 Section 258 also applies when determining whether a property meets the definition of an HMO under Section 254 – this includes both ‘Small HMOs’ (C4) and ‘Large HMOs’ (Sui Generis). These legislative changes have increased awareness of HMOs within the planning system.
- 2.5 HMOs can play an important role in the County Borough’s housing mix by providing a broader range of accommodation options, particularly for students, young professionals and those on lower incomes. However, they also raise specific planning challenges. HMOs are often characterised by shorter than average tenancies leading to higher population turnover, and their occupation by multiple independent adults can increase the intensity of residential use. This, in turn, can lead to increased activity in and around a property, more noise, greater demand for parking and additional pressures on waste management.
- 2.6 Whilst not every HMO will result in adverse impacts, and in some cases the level of activity may be comparable to that of a large family household, the cumulative effect of high concentrations of HMOs can be significant. These cumulative impacts can include harm to residential amenity, erosion of local character and loss of community cohesion. There is a need to strike a careful balance between supporting housing choice and preventing the over-concentration of HMOs in any given locality. RLDP Policy COM7 specifies criteria to assess the appropriateness of proposals to convert

dwelling into HMOs, aiming to avoid over-intensification of the use as an HMO within any given locality.

2.7 The draft SPG relating to HMOs (**Appendix 1**) aims to provide additional detail and guidance on how adopted Policy COM7 should be interpreted and applied in practice. It is intended to assist planning applicants, officers and Members by clarifying the requirements of Policy COM7 and how proposals will be assessed. The draft HMO SPG also explains the relationship between Planning and other regulatory regimes relevant to HMOs, such as Licensing and Building Regulations, highlighting how these other statutory regimes operate alongside, but separately from, the planning system. In doing so, the draft SPG aims to ensure a consistent and transparent approach to managing HMO development, supporting the creation of sustainable, balanced communities throughout the County Borough. This draft HMO SPG provides specific guidance on:

- How HMOs are defined in planning terms and when planning permission is required for HMOs;
- The roles of Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations in respect of HMOs;
- Application of the radius test (ensuring that no more than 10% of properties are HMOs within a 50m radius in any given area);
- How the character and appearance of the locality is considered when major extensions or alterations are proposed;
- How the scale and intensity of HMOs will be considered in relation to HMO applications;
- How local parking provision will be considered in relation to HMO applications;
- Amenity considerations (for both future HMO occupants and neighbours); and
- Submission requirements for applicants.

2.8 At their meeting on 8th August 2024, the Development Control Committee requested development of a specific SPG on HMOs to provide additional planning guidance to support adopted Policy COM7. Councillor Simon Griffiths volunteered to champion the production of the HMO SPG on behalf of the Development Control Committee and work alongside the Senior Strategic Planning Policy Officer to progress it.

3. Current situation / proposal

3.1 On 23rd September 2025, Cabinet provided approval for a six-week public consultation on the draft HMO SPG to take place. Cabinet also authorised officers to make appropriate arrangements for that public consultation before reporting the outcome of the consultation back to Cabinet to seek their approval to send this report to Council to seek adoption of the final form SPG.

3.2 The public consultation was launched on 6th October 2025 and closed on 16th November 2025, and was promoted through a variety of channels to ensure wide engagement. The consultation was hosted on the Council's consultation portal,

supported by a social media campaign and standalone message to Citizen Panel subscribers. It was also included twice in the Council's residents' bulletin and in the regular news bulletin for Councillors to maximise public awareness. In addition, targeted emails were issued to well over 100 stakeholders, including all elected Members, town and community Councils, planning consultants/agents, neighbouring local authorities, estate agents, landlord representative groups, local community/non-profit groups, local further education (**FE**) providers and Registered Social Landlords (**RSLs**). This ensured that a broad range of community and development sector representatives were directly invited to comment, providing a robust and inclusive approach to the public consultation. The social media campaign, in particular, generated meaningful engagement, with several comments received in response to consultation posts. This indicates that the consultation was effectively publicised and prompted discussion.

3.3 A total of four representations were received on the draft HMO SPG during the consultation period. This low number reflects the fact that there were no fundamental objections to the draft SPG. All representations, together with the Local Planning Authority's response to each one, and the reasoning for not incorporating some suggestions, are set out in the 'Consultation Report' attached as **Appendix 2** to this Council report. The comments submitted related to relatively minor points, resulting in three changes being made to the draft SPG document:

1. Insert a bullet point under paragraph 5.5 clarifying that hotel premises used for temporary accommodation are generally not considered as HMOs, unless in specific circumstances. This paragraph sits underneath a sub-heading titled '*Exclusions*' and specifically sets out which types of residential arrangements are not typically considered HMOs for planning purposes. Such proposals will not be considered against Policy COM7. The inserted wording (amendment) will read as follows:

'Hotel premises used for short-term temporary accommodation – A hotel building used to provide temporary accommodation for non-holiday residents, such as homeless households, which does not operate as a hotel in the conventional sense, is typically regarded as a 'sui generis' use.'

2. Alter the wording of the fourth sentence of paragraph 5.6, an insertion to require the consultation of Shared Regulatory Services (who manage HMO Licensing) on all HMO planning applications. This will now read as follows (newly inserted words are shown in bold):

*'However, Shared Regulatory Services (SRS), who oversee the licensing and management of HMOs in Bridgend County Borough, ~~should~~ **will** be consulted on **all** planning applications for HMOs to ensure alignment between planning and housing enforcement considerations.'*

3. Insert a new headed section under the heading, 'Policy COM7: Criterion 6' of the SPG, titled '*HMOs and Security*' after paragraph 6.46, as paragraph 6.47, to read as follows:

'HMOs and Security

Applicants are encouraged to design HMOs in accordance with Secured by Design (SBD) principles and are advised to aim to achieve the SBD 'Gold' award (an award that acknowledges crime and anti-social behaviour reduction measures relating to layout, environmental design and the use of Police Preferred Specification products), where practicable.'

- 3.4 Furthermore, some of the comments received in response to the public consultation indicated the need for clearer guidance on the differences between each of the three regulatory regimes relating to the management of HMOs: Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations. Each of these regimes play a distinct role in the management of HMOs' potential impacts, so it is important to be aware of the issues that each regime covers. Therefore, a two-page guidance note '*A Guide to Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations for Houses in Multiple Occupation*' has been produced to accompany the SPG. This is attached at **Appendix 3** to this report.
- 3.5 There were no other changes considered necessary to the draft SPG following the public consultation. If adopted, the new SPG will add weight to the interpretation and application of RLDP Policy COM7, provide more detailed advice and guidance to planning applicants, and will become a '*material consideration*' in the determination of planning applications.

4. Equality implications (including socio-economic duty and Welsh language)

- 4.1 An initial Equality Impact Assessment (**EIA**) screening has identified that there would be no negative impact on those with one or more of the protected characteristics, on socio-economic disadvantage or the use of the Welsh language. It is therefore not necessary to carry out a full EIA on this policy or proposal.

5. Well-being of Future Generations implications and connection to Corporate Well-being Objectives

- 5.1 The Houses in Multiple Occupation SPG, once adopted, will provide additional guidance and material weight to support adopted RLDP Policy COM7. The HMO SPG aims to enable the development of HMOs while safeguarding residential amenity, community cohesion and the character of existing neighbourhoods. This is a key contributory factor to delivering Local Well-being Objective One - '*A prosperous place with thriving communities*'.
- 5.2 The HMO SPG will also contribute to the following goals within the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015:

- *A Wales of cohesive communities* - Seeks to prevent the over-concentration of HMOs and promote balanced communities.
- *A more equal Wales* - Supports access to affordable and flexible accommodation for individuals and enables housing options that meet diverse needs.

6. Climate change and nature implications

- 6.1 There are no direct climate change or nature implications from this report, although the HMO SPG will provide additional guidance to enable the development of sustainable HMOs that promote active travel opportunities.

7. Safeguarding and Corporate Parent implications

- 7.1 There are no Safeguarding and Corporate Parent implications from this report.

8. Financial implications

- 8.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

9. Recommendations

- 9.1 It is recommended that Council:

- Note the contents of this report and approve the officer's consultation responses to the representations received in response to the public consultation on the draft HMO SPG, together with the resultant proposed amendments set out in attached **Appendix 2**.
- Approve the final form HMO SPG (**Appendix 1**) and agree its adoption for immediate application as a *material consideration* in making development management decisions and in the determination of planning applications along with the adopted RLDP, subject to the provisions of recommendation 'c'.
- Authorise the Corporate Director - Communities and Group Manager - Planning and Development Services to make minor presentational changes, typographical or factual corrections as necessary prior to publication of the adopted HMO SPG.
- Note and approve the contents of the two-page guidance note titled '*A Guide to Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations for Houses in Multiple Occupation*' attached as **Appendix 3**.

Background documents

None.

**Bridgend County Borough
Local Development Plan
2018-2033**

**Houses in Multiple Occupation
Supplementary Planning Guidance
February 2026**

Cyngor Bwrdeistref Sirol



Contents

1.0	Introduction.....	1
2.0	National Policy Context	3
3.0	Background	5
4.0	Planning Framework.....	6
5.0	HMO Definitions and Regulatory Context.....	7
	HMOs in Planning Terms	7
	Exclusions.....	8
	Mandatory Licensing for HMOs.....	9
	Fit and Proper Persons Test	10
	Management Regulations	10
	Inspections and Health & Safety	11
	Operating Without a Licence.....	11
	Homelessness (Suitability of Accommodation) (Wales) Order 2015.....	12
	Building Regulations	12
6.0	Planning Requirements	14
	Policy COM7: Criterion 1.....	14
	Policy COM7: Criterion 2.....	17
	Permitted Development Rights (PDRs) and HMOs.....	18
	Converting Non-Residential Buildings to HMOs.....	18
	HMOs, Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas	19
	Policy COM7: Criterion 3.....	20
	Intensification of Use and Impact on a Neighbourhood's Character	20
	Compatibility of Uses	21
	HMOs in Flood Risk Areas.....	22

Policy COM7: Criterion 4.....	23
Methodology for Assessing Parking Impact	23
Design Requirements for On-Site Parking	24
Policy COM7: Criterion 5.....	26
Bicycle Storage	26
Refuse and Recycling Storage.....	26
Clothes Drying Area	27
Policy COM7: Criterion 6.....	28
Outdoor Amenity Space	29
Protecting the Amenity of Neighbouring Uses.....	29
HMOs Above/Adjoining Commercial Premises	30
Material Considerations	30
Appendix A – Submission Requirements for Applicants	31
Appendix B – HMO Licensing Standards	32
Part One: HMO Space Standards.....	32
Space Requirements for Shared Accommodation with Shared Facilities.....	32
Space Requirements for Self-Contained Accommodation	32
Part Two: HMO Amenity Standards	33
Bathroom and Toilet Amenities within Shared Accommodation.....	33
Bathroom and Toilet Amenities within Self-Contained Accommodation.....	34
Kitchen Amenities within Shared Accommodation	34
Kitchen Amenities within Self-Contained Accommodation	36
Appendix C – Methodology for Assessing Parking Impact.....	37



Chapel Street, Bridgend

Bridgend Local Development Plan 2018-2033
Houses in Multiple Occupation Supplementary Planning Guidance

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) are generally defined as properties occupied by three or more unrelated individuals, forming more than two households (Welsh Government, Law Wales: Houses in Multiple Occupation). The Local Planning Authority (LPA) recognises the important role HMOs play in contributing to Bridgend County Borough's housing supply by providing flexible and often more affordable accommodation options for a diverse range of residents.
- 1.2 HMOs can indeed provide accommodation for small households who may otherwise be unable to meet their needs in the market and/or require flexibility to move home. They are typically occupied by students, young professionals and those on short-term work contracts.
- 1.3 However, residents of HMOs can often remain in situ for relatively less time than residents of other dwelling houses, meaning areas with significant concentrations of HMOs can witness greater population turnover. Equally, multiple occupation of a house can involve intensification of its residential use, due to a greater number of independent adults residing within the property. In certain instances, this can lead to increased levels of activity in and around the house, greater noise levels, additional demand for car parking spaces and waste disposal issues. Nevertheless, conversion of a single dwelling house into an HMO may not necessarily constitute intensification. For example, a single dwelling house could accommodate an adult couple plus several additional adult children who are set to remain in the parental home for an unspecified period. On this basis, it is normally difficult to demonstrate the degree of impact that an individual property converted to an HMO may have on the character and amenity of its surroundings. However, a high proportion of HMOs can have a much more significant cumulative impact on the character of an area, its residential amenity and also local community cohesion.

1.4 Common perceptions associated with HMOs include:

- Negative changes to the character of an area
- Negative impacts on the amenity of occupants and neighbours through the intensification of uses
- Increased pressure on parking provision
- Waste storage and litter issues
- Anti-social behaviour/crime issues
- The provision of inadequate living conditions for occupiers
- Negative impacts on the physical environment and streetscape.

1.5 While not all of these issues (should they arise) are under the direct control of the LPA, the adopted Replacement Local Development Plan (RLDP) includes Policy COM7: *Houses in Multiple Occupation*. This aims to ensure that proposals to convert dwellings into HMOs are assessed as to their appropriateness in order to avoid over intensification of the use within the locality. This Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) provides planning applicants and officials with additional information on how to interpret and apply Policy COM7. This SPG will be taken into account as a material planning consideration when determining planning applications for HMOs.



Morfa Street, Bridgend

2.0 National Policy Context

- 2.1 **Future Wales: The National Plan 2040** – While HMOs are not explicitly referenced within *Future Wales*, it includes high-level planning goals that support their management through local policy, especially in terms of creating sustainable, well-connected, and balanced communities. It includes several *Placemaking Principles* which promote high-quality, inclusive, and sustainable places. LPAs are expected to support mixed and balanced communities, which aligns with the goal of managing concentrations of HMOs to avoid harmful social and/or environmental impacts.
- 2.2 *Policy 2 – Shaping Urban Growth and Regeneration of Future Wales* encourages urban intensification in a way that supports well-being and community cohesion. HMOs, which can increase intensification in urban areas, must be managed carefully to align with this policy.
- 2.3 *Policy 7 – Delivering Affordable Homes of Future Wales* supports diverse housing provision, particularly for those on lower incomes. While privately run HMOs are not classed as ‘Affordable Housing’ for the purposes of the land use planning system, HMOs can provide an affordable market housing option for those otherwise unable to meet their needs in the housing market.
- 2.4 *Policy 9 – Resilient Ecological Networks and Green Infrastructure* indirectly supports the management of the intensification of HMOs, as they can lead to an increase in population densities which can place more pressure on services and green spaces. By managing their distribution, LPAs can support the resilience of ecological networks.
- 2.5 **Planning Policy Wales (PPW) (Edition 12)** sets out the overarching national planning policy for Wales and should be used to guide planning and placemaking at the local level. These policies underpin local planning policies used to guide development.
- 2.6 PPW emphasises the need to promote sustainable development and support the well-being of people and communities across Wales, such as by ensuring that a range of housing types are delivered to support a diverse population

and a range of housing needs. PPW also promotes the creation of cohesive and balanced communities.

- 2.7 While HMOs are not specifically referred to within PPW, it underscores the need for LPAs to ensure that housing developments contribute to balanced communities and meet the diverse needs of the population. This includes providing a range of housing types and tenures to accommodate different household sizes and compositions.



3.0 Background

- 3.1 The preparation of this SPG is driven by several interrelated factors. These include the projected rise in single-person households and persistent housing affordability issues, both of which are increasing demand for shared housing across many parts of the UK. While Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations each serve distinct legal purposes, they all contribute to the regulation of HMOs and work best when aligned. Applicants often face challenges in navigating the interplay between these regimes. This SPG aims to provide local interpretation, clarification, and practical guidance on the implementation of HMO-related planning policy – specifically Policy COM7 of the RLDP. It is intended to support both applicants and planning officers in applying this policy consistently and transparently, while reinforcing the LPA’s wider housing and placemaking objectives.
- 3.2 Although the number of planning applications for HMOs in Bridgend County Borough has remained relatively low over the past five years – averaging approximately 5 per year – the issue has gained greater prominence following legislative change in February 2016. The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) (Amendment) (Wales) Order 2016 (“**Wales Order 2016**”) created a new C4 Class covering HMOs occupied by 3-6 unrelated persons. Therefore, the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) (**Use Classes Order 1987**) now contains a clearer distinction between small HMOs (Use Class C4) and large HMOs (Unique Use), with implications for when planning permission is required. Prior to February 2016, planning permission was only required for large HMOs. However, since this date planning permission is required for all HMOs whether housing 3-6 or 7 or more unrelated persons. As a result, and in anticipation of potential future growth in HMOs, it is considered timely and appropriate to provide SPG to guide decision-making. This guidance will ensure that any new HMO development supports well-balanced, inclusive communities, avoids harmful over-concentrations, and contributes positively to the County Borough’s long-term placemaking aspirations.

4.0 Planning Framework

- 4.1 The RLDP sets the framework to provide an appropriate and sustainable supply of housing land to deliver inter-connected, balanced communities that form the basis for individuals and families to prosper in all aspects of their lives. The strategic planning framework is set out within Strategic Policy 6: Sustainable Housing Strategy (SP6), which is supported by Development Management Policies COM1 – COM7:

SP6: Sustainable Housing Strategy

COM1: Housing Allocations

COM2: Affordable Housing

COM3: On-Site Provision of Affordable Housing

COM4: Off-Site Provision of Affordable Housing

COM5: Affordable Housing Exception Sites

COM6: Residential Density

COM7: Houses in Multiple Occupation

- 4.2 Policy COM7 provides specific policy criteria to assess HMO proposals throughout Bridgend County Borough. It considers whether the cumulative concentration, scale and intensity of such proposals may have a net impact upon the broader locality's existing residential amenity, character and appearance. The aim of the policy is to enable HMOs to be developed in a manner that enables cohesive communities, while protecting local character and amenity.
- 4.3 This SPG will be used as a material consideration to support the application of Policy COM7. It will assist in the determination of any planning application for the conversion of a single dwelling (Use Class C3) or a non-residential property to an HMO, or the intensification of use of a 'C4' property (small HMO with 3-6 unrelated residents) to a 'Unique Use' (more than 6 unrelated persons sharing basic amenities) large HMO.

5.0 HMO Definitions and Regulatory Context

5.1 This section provides an overview of how HMOs are defined and regulated, setting out the distinctions between planning definitions, licensing requirements, and building regulations, while clarifying how these different regimes interact.

HMOs in Planning Terms

5.2 HMOs can be defined as residential dwellings with three or more people from two or more different families living together (i.e. not a '*single household*') and sharing one or more basic amenity (i.e. kitchen, bathroom, or toilet). The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended by the Wales Order 2016) classifies HMOs into two types:

- **A small HMO:** Use Class C4 – small HMOs are shared houses or flats occupied by between three and six unrelated individuals, as their only or main residence, who share basic amenities, such as a kitchen or bathroom; or
- **A large HMO:** 'Unique Use' – large HMOs are buildings occupied by more than six unrelated individuals, as their only or main residence, who share basic amenities, such as a kitchen or bathroom. These are unclassified by the Use Classes Order and are therefore considered to be a Unique Use (a use of its own kind or '*sui generis*').

5.3 For planning purposes of determining whether occupants of a property form a '*single household*' or an '*HMO*', the relevant meanings in the Use Classes Order 1987 and the Housing Act 2004 (**HA 2004**), apply. The meaning of '*small HMO*' under Class C4 as a 'house in multiple occupation' (but not a converted block of flats), in the Use Classes Order 1987 (as amended), aligns with and refers to the meaning of "*HMO*" in section 254 of the HA 2004. The meaning of '*single household*' under Class C3 of the Use Classes Order 1987 (as amended) aligns with section 258 of the HA 2004 which sets out when persons are to be regarded as not forming a single household for the purposes of s254 HA 2004 ('house in multiple occupation'), and when a

person is considered to be a member of the '*same family*', or fall within a description specified by regulations made by the appropriate national authority. For these purposes, section 258 (3) HA 2004 provides that a person is a member of the '*same family*' as another person if:

- a. those persons are married to, or civil partners of, each other or live together as if they were a married couple or civil partners;
- b. one of them is a relative of the other; or
- c. one of them is, or is a relative of, one member of a couple and the other is a relative of the other member of the couple.

5.4 As mentioned above (paragraph 3.2), Use Class C4 was introduced in Wales in 2016. A change of use from Use Class C4 to Class C3 (dwellinghouses) is permissible without obtaining planning permission. However, planning permission is required in each of the following scenarios:

- A change of use of any building (including from Use Class C3) to either a small (Use Class C4) or large (Unique Use) HMO.
- An increase in the size of an HMO from a small HMO (C4) to a large HMO (Unique Use). For example, if a small HMO containing 6 people was to increase in size for the occupation of one additional resident. The same applies in reverse - the change of use of a large HMO (Unique Use) to either a small HMO (C4) or a dwelling (C3) will require planning permission.
- External alterations or extensions to existing HMOs which are not permitted development.

Exclusions

5.5 While the definition of an HMO generally relates to properties occupied by three or more unrelated individuals sharing basic amenities, there are several types of residential arrangements that are typically not considered HMOs for planning purposes. These include:

- Owner-occupied properties with up to two lodgers – Where a resident landlord lives in the property and rents out rooms to no more than two lodgers, this is usually considered a single household and not an HMO.
- Children’s homes or supported accommodation for children – Properties where children live under care arrangements (often registered children’s homes), which fall under Use Class C2.
- Residential care homes or supported housing for people in need of care – Also within Use Class C2, these include homes for elderly people, disabled people, or others receiving care.
- Purpose-built student accommodation (PBSA) – Self-contained developments designed specifically for students are not usually classed as HMOs.
- Hotel premises used for short-term temporary accommodation – A hotel building used to provide temporary accommodation for non-holiday residents, such as homeless households, which does not operate as a hotel in the conventional sense, is typically regarded as a ‘sui generis’ use.
- Properties occupied by a single household – A group of people who live together as a single household (e.g. A family) are not considered to live in an HMO.

Mandatory Licensing for HMOs

- 5.6 The planning system is one of several regulatory regimes applying to HMOs. Properties may also require a mandatory licence under the Housing Act 2004 and need to comply with building regulations. These regimes are separate, with distinct criteria – approval under one does not imply approval under another. However, Shared Regulatory Services (SRS), who oversee the licensing and management of HMOs in Bridgend County Borough, will be consulted on all planning applications for HMOs to ensure alignment between planning and housing enforcement considerations.
- 5.7 The Housing Act 2004 mandates licensing for certain types of HMO to ensure they meet certain health and safety standards. In Wales, mandatory licensing applies to HMOs that:

- Are occupied by five or more individuals forming two or more households;
- Comprise three or more storeys; and
- Share basic amenities like kitchens or bathrooms.

5.8 Licences usually last five years, though shorter terms may be issued if concerns exist about management. Licensing ensures the property meets standards for safety (e.g. fire precautions), has appropriate occupancy levels, and is adequately managed. Conditions may be attached to reduce negative impacts on the wider area. Beyond mandatory licensing, there is no additional or selective licensing in place for HMOs across Bridgend Country Borough.

5.9 A two-and-a-half storey property with a dormer extension would typically be regarded as a three-storey building for the purposes of mandatory HMO licensing.

Fit and Proper Persons Test

5.10 Licence holders and managers must be deemed 'fit and proper persons', with no relevant convictions (e.g. fraud, violence, sexual offences, housing law breaches), and must demonstrate competency to manage an HMO.

Management Regulations

5.11 Managers must comply with the Management of Houses in Multiple Occupation (Wales) Regulations 2006, and, where applicable, the 2007 Additional Provisions Regulations. These impose duties including:

- Providing information to occupiers;
- Taking fire and general safety measures;
- Maintaining water, gas, electricity supplies;
- Keeping common parts, fittings, and appliances in good order;
- Maintaining living accommodation; and
- Providing proper waste disposal.

5.12 Failure to comply is a criminal offence, with fines of up to £20,000 on conviction.



Pant Hirwaun, Heol y Cyw

Inspections and Health & Safety

5.13 Before issuing a licence, SRS inspect the property to assess licence conditions and housing standards under the Housing Health and Safety Rating System (HHSRS). This assesses 29 hazards, each weighted to determine whether a property has:

- **Category 1** (serious hazards); or
- **Category 2** (less serious hazards).

5.14 Local authorities must act where Category 1 hazards are found, and landlords are required to address all identified risks.

Operating Without a Licence

5.15 Running a **licensable HMO** without a licence is a criminal offence, as is failing to comply with licence conditions, both subject to significant penalties. The application process is managed by SRS (for further information refer to the [Licensing of HMOs Guidance Note](#)).


Homelessness (Suitability of Accommodation) (Wales) Order 2015

- 5.16 Shared accommodation provided to homeless households in priority need must meet the standards set out in the Homelessness (Suitability of Accommodation) (Wales) Order 2015. Under Article 2 of the Order, where the accommodation is an HMO, it must be licensed where required and meet relevant HMO standards. This ensures that individuals are not placed in unsuitable or substandard housing.

Building Regulations

- 5.17 An existing house converted to an HMO can still be a 'dwellinghouse' and would not require separate Building Regulations approval if it is to be occupied by people who share a tenancy, share the bills and where the property does not have any locks on the bedroom doors.
- 5.18 However, where the HMO is occupied by people with separate tenancies and proposes to have locks on doors, this would then be classed as 'rooms for residential purposes'. This would constitute a material change and require building regulations approval.
- 5.19 Any other type of change of use to an HMO would require a Full Plans Building Regulation application, and be expected to install the following before occupation:
- Emergency lighting
 - Fire safety signage
 - Fire doors throughout (self-closing where applicable)
 - Fire detection throughout building
 - Protected corridor to final exit.
- 5.20 A statutory consultation with South Wales Fire Service will also be required. The application process is managed by Building Control (for further information see the [Building Control section of the Council's website](#)).

Figure 1: Do I Live in an HMO?



6.0 Planning Requirements

6.1 This section provides further guidance on each of the criteria set out in Policy COM7 of the RLDP. It is intended to support applicants and decision-makers by clarifying how the policy should be interpreted in the context of proposals for HMOs, and by outlining key considerations to be addressed through the planning process. A list of documents the LPA would typically expect to be submitted when making a planning application for an HMO can be found in Appendix A.

Policy COM7: Criterion 1

'It would not lead to more than 10% of all residential properties within a 50m radius of the proposal being HMOs.'

6.2 All proposals for a change of use from a single dwelling to an HMO will be subject to the 'radius test'. The Welsh Government's Housing in Multiple Occupation: Review & Evidence Gathering report found that local concerns regarding the number of HMOs increases once concentrations of HMO households rise above 10%. Such concentrations have the potential to cause negative amenity impacts upon existing residents including the potential for increased levels of disturbance associated with multiple households within a property. These factors combined with a reduction in the number of family homes within an area can inhibit the maintenance of sustainable, mixed and balanced communities.

6.3 Any proposal that would lead to more than 10% of all residential dwellings being HMOs within a 50m radius would be contrary to Policy COM7 and deemed unacceptable, unless overriding material considerations demonstrably outweigh concerns over concentration.

6.4 To ascertain whether a proposal complies with this policy criterion, a circle with a 50m radius should be drawn around the central point within the property's red line boundary to show all properties falling within this area. Only those properties whose centre point (that is the most central point within a property's red line boundary) falls within the circle should be included within

the calculation. A calculation of the proportion of HMOs as a percentage of all residential units within the 50-metre radius should then be made as detailed in paragraph 6.2.5. For subdivided properties or purpose-built apartment blocks, each individual self-contained unit (whether resulting from a subdivision or located within an apartment block) will be counted as a single dwelling.

- 6.5 In order to identify existing properties in HMO use, the LPA will utilise data held on the number of existing HMOs within the proposal's vicinity. This will include any previous planning consents combined with any current HMO licenses. The applicant or any objectors may supplement or challenge such data held by the LPA. However, satisfactory evidence must be provided to support any such claims.
- 6.6 To calculate the percentage of HMOs within a specific area, the following formula should be used:

$$\text{HMO concentration} = \frac{\text{Number of HMOs within 50m radius}}{\text{Number of residential properties within 50m radius}} \times 100$$

The answer to this equation should be rounded to the closest integer i.e. 9.5% should be rounded up to 10%, or 9.4% should be rounded down to 9%.

- 6.7 To determine the appropriate denominator, all residential dwellings that fall within a 50m radius that are categorised as either Use Class C3 (dwellinghouse), C4 (small HMO) or 'Unique Use' (large HMO), must be included within the calculation. Figure 2 shows an example of how the radius test should be applied to an application for an HMO to ascertain whether it complies with Policy COM7.

Figure 2: Application of 50m Radius Test



Mill Meadow, North Cornelly

Bridgend Local Development Plan 2018-2033
Houses in Multiple Occupation Supplementary Planning Guidance

Policy COM7: Criterion 2

‘Conversion is possible without major extensions or alterations to the building which would significantly alter the character and appearance of the street scene and the broader locality.’

- 6.8 It is recognised that the majority of conversions to HMOs will require minimal alterations to their external appearance. However, any alterations should be well integrated with the existing street patterns, historic context, urban layout and landscape features of the surrounding area, while having regard to the size and character of the property and wider street scene.
- 6.9 Extensions to an HMO to create additional bedrooms would not constitute creation of a new HMO or add to the concentration of HMOs in a locality. However, any increase in the number of residents can have an impact on the character of an area and amenity of neighbouring occupiers. These types of planning applications will be assessed on a case-by-case basis. In such cases, careful consideration will be given to the proposal’s impact upon the locality’s amenity, character and appearance. Impact on adjoining properties and public spaces, such as by way of loss of light, privacy or proposals being visually overbearing, will be duly considered. Proposals should also consider how the building interacts with pavements or other public spaces.
- 6.10 If floor levels are altered, the impact on the appearance of the property from street level should be considered. When larger rooms are split into two separate rooms, the applicant should ensure that any new windows align with the divided room. A new dividing wall that intersects the middle of a traditional bay window will not usually be acceptable.
- 6.11 The entrance and approach to an HMO is an important part of how it functions in relation to its surroundings. When HMOs are accessed from side or rear entrances, this can cause amenity issues for neighbouring residents, as well as have an impact on the visual appearance of the street scene. Entrances designed to be visible from the street are considered optimal.

6.12 The provision of on-site car parking or secure cycle storage, where required to support an HMO conversion, will not generally be considered to constitute a major extension or alteration to the building. Such works are typically modest in scale and, where appropriately designed, do not significantly alter the character or appearance of the street scene or wider locality. Each case will be assessed on its individual merits, but this SPG assumes that proposals of this nature can ordinarily be accommodated within the scope of the policy criterion.

Permitted Development Rights (PDRs) and HMOs

6.13 Once planning permission has been granted for a property to operate as a HMO, some PDRs may still apply, depending on the type of HMO. In Wales, small HMOs (Use Class C4) are generally treated as “dwellinghouses” for the purposes of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended) (GPDO). This means that most householder PDRs, such as certain extensions, loft conversions, and outbuildings, can still apply, subject to the usual limitations and conditions.

6.14 However, larger HMOs (Unique Use) are not considered dwellinghouses under the GPDO. As a result, PDRs that apply to dwellinghouses do not usually extend to large (Unique Use) HMOs.

6.15 When granting planning permission, the LPA may apply a planning condition that requires the HMO to be limited to a maximum number of occupants at any one time. This will typically relate to the number of bedrooms in accordance with the internal layout indicated on the approved floor plans. A further planning condition may be applied to remove the owner’s PDR for an HMO on a case-by-case basis.

Converting Non-Residential Buildings to HMOs

6.16 Proposals to convert non-residential buildings to HMOs that include extensions and/or external alterations will be considered on their own merits against the policies in the RLDP. Such proposals should, however, be in

keeping with the existing form and character of the building and preserve the character of the wider street scene.

HMOs, Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas

- 6.17 Owners of listed buildings converted to HMOs are required to gain listed building consent for any alterations or extensions (internal or external) that may affect its character. The LPA recommends that applicants seek guidance from the Historic Buildings Conservation Officer before submitting an application for such a scheme. The LPA, when considering whether to grant planning permission for development that affects a listed building, has a statutory duty to “have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of architectural or historic interest that the building possesses” (Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990). PPW (Edition 12, p.130) sets a ‘general presumption in favour of the preservation or enhancement of a listed building and its setting, which might extend beyond its curtilage’.
- 6.18 Proposals for HMOs located within or affecting the setting of conservation areas should demonstrate how they will preserve or enhance the special character and appearance of the area. As conservation areas are designated for their special architectural or historic interest, development proposals must be informed by a heritage impact assessment in accordance with Policy *SP18: Conservation of the Historic Environment* of the RLDP. Any physical alterations, intensification of use, or changes to the character of a property arising from HMO conversion should be sensitive to the historic and architectural context. The LPA will resist proposals that fail to respect the distinctive character, appearance, and setting of the conservation area, in line with national best practice and relevant legislation, including the Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2016.
- 6.19 Careful consideration should be given to retrofitting insulation and installing solar photovoltaics in/on HMO buildings in addressing energy consumption. Traditional buildings require the ability for moisture evaporation off surfaces and insulation can be damaging to the building fabric. Advice from the

Council's Conservation and Design Team can highlight practices that avoid harmful installations and that damage architectural character.

- 6.20 The LPA recommends obtaining pre-application conservation advice for proposals relating to Listed Buildings / buildings in Conservation Areas as well as key historic buildings that form part of the historic landscape. These may include former chapels / welfare halls / vacant traditional buildings, etc. Guidance on managing change and energy efficiency measures relating to the historic environment is also available and should be used to inform proposals relating to buildings of traditional construction. When preparing proposals, developers are encouraged to seek advice from a heritage specialist with experience of working on historic buildings.

Policy COM7: Criterion 3

'The scale and intensity of use would be compatible with the existing building and adjoining and nearby uses'.

- 6.21 While it important to manage the number of HMOs within a particular area, intensification of individual HMOs can adversely impact the existing building and adjoining and nearby uses. Planning permission will need to be sought to increase the size of an HMO from between three to six people (Use Class C4) to seven or more people (Unique Use). All planning applications for HMOs must not only be assessed against Policy COM7 and this SPG, but also against *Policy SP3: Good Design and Sustainable Placemaking*. Policy SP3 sets out broader requirements relating to design quality and the character of development in relation to its surroundings.

Intensification of Use and Impact on a Neighbourhood's Character

- 6.22 Proposals for new, or the intensification of existing HMOs, should have regard to the size and character of the property, as well as of the wider street scene (opposite and adjacent uses, in particular). Each proposal will be assessed on a case-by-case basis, but the net gain in the number of occupants should not be unduly excessive in nature.
- 6.23 The proposal's impact on the amenity of local residents, the character and appearance of the street scene, and highway safety will be assessed at the

point of application. To enable the LPA to fully assess the HMO's compatibility with the existing building and neighbouring uses, the applicant is required to submit floor plans which provide a clear indication of the proposed room uses, including bedrooms, communal spaces and location of any opening windows. Plans for bedrooms must also indicate the maximum number of occupants. Potential impacts on residential amenity will be assessed by considering elements such as visual impact, loss of light, overlooking, privacy, disturbance and likely traffic movements.

- 6.24 When assessing planning applications for changes of use to HMOs, it is important to distinguish between perceived impacts based on the previous occupants and the lawful planning use of the property. The planning system does not control who occupies a dwelling, but rather how it is used. For example, a property lawfully used as a single dwellinghouse (Use Class C3) may be occupied by a couple or by a large family of adults without requiring planning permission. As such, assessments of impact must be based on the potential lawful use under current planning controls, not the specific nature of past occupants. Proposals for HMOs should therefore be judged against a baseline of the established planning use and whether the proposal would result in a material change in the character or impact of the use in planning terms, rather than who previously lived at the property.

Compatibility of Uses

- 6.25 HMOs must be compatible with nearby uses. For example, an HMO would not be deemed acceptable if located in the middle of an industrial estate, as this would be contrary to other policies in the RLDP. In particular, applications for the conversion of commercial buildings to HMOs should consider the nature of adjacent and nearby uses and the degree to which they are compatible with a residential property. For example, a proposal for a new HMO adjoining a commercial premises should be able to provide outdoor amenity space without adversely impacting upon the servicing and security of the neighbouring business.

6.26 Typically, planning permission is not required for internal alterations to an HMO, unless the building is listed, the alterations significantly impact the building's external appearance or involve structural changes affecting fire safety, escape routes, or load-bearing walls. Proposals to convert communal areas (i.e. a sitting room into an additional bedroom) may require planning permission if they result in a material change of use. This could be due to the resulting change in character, impact on residential amenity, increase in the number of occupiers, and/or parking pressures.

HMOs in Flood Risk Areas

6.27 In areas at risk of flooding, the potential intensification of residential use associated with HMO development may exacerbate local flood risk or pose risks to future occupants. Proposals for HMOs in flood risk areas should demonstrate that flood risk is adequately addressed in accordance with national guidance (e.g. Technical Advice Note 15). Applicants may be required to submit a Flood Consequences Assessment. The LPA may resist intensification of use through HMO development where it would lead to unacceptable environmental pressures.

Policy COM7: Criterion 4

'The proposal incorporates on-site parking provision or demonstrates that it will not have an adverse effect on local parking provision'.

- 6.28 Parking is a frequently raised concern in relation to HMOs. However, the nature of car ownership and demand for parking spaces can vary depending on location, resident profile, and site-specific factors. Importantly, the conversion of a property to an HMO does not automatically result in increased parking demand; in many cases, HMOs can have lower levels of car ownership than traditional family homes.
- 6.29 All applications for HMO proposals must include details of the proposed parking provision. The appropriate level of provision will be assessed by the LPA based on the following considerations:
- The availability and suitability of parking within the curtilage of the property;
 - The sustainability of the site in relation to proximity to services and amenities;
 - Access to public transport, bus stops and active travel routes (e.g. walking and cycling infrastructure);
 - The availability of existing on-street parking in the surrounding locality; and
 - A comparison of the likely parking demand of the proposed HMO with that of the existing use.
- 6.30 Proposals in localities with good access to commercial centres, public transport and active travel routes could negate the need for any additional parking generated by a net increase in people.

Methodology for Assessing Parking Impact

- 6.31 Applicants are required to assess the potential impact on local parking provision using the methodology set out in Appendix C. This methodology enables a proportionate, consistent approach to assessing whether additional parking demand would result in adverse impacts on the surrounding area. A

summary checklist is also included in Appendix C to guide applicants through the assessment process.

Design Requirements for On-Site Parking

6.32 Where on-site parking is proposed, the following principles apply:

- Provision within the curtilage of the property is preferred, where feasible.
- Parking layouts should:
 - Complement the residential character of the area; and
 - Avoid dominating the frontage or detracting from the building's entrance and approach.
- Tandem parking is not acceptable for HMOs.
- Each parking space should be independently accessible – vehicles should be able to enter and leave each space without needing to move others.
- Parking provision should comply with the latest Bridgend Parking Standards SPG.
- As Policy *PLA11: Parking Standards* of the RLDP states, consideration must be given to electric and Ultra Low Emission Vehicles.
- Schemes that provide on-site parking by sacrificing amenity space are unlikely to be acceptable.
- Where front gardens are converted into parking, paving materials used should be permeable or porous.

6.33 It is noted that off-street parking for existing dwellings may relieve existing on street parking pressures and better enable residents to charge an electric vehicle.



Newcastle Hill, Bridgend

Policy COM7: Criterion 5

'The proposal includes adequate storage for recycling/refuse, cycles and a clothes drying area'

Bicycle Storage

- 6.34 HMOs should provide secure, covered and accessible bicycle storage within the curtilage of the property and on the ground floor. As a general rule, a minimum of one bicycle parking space per bedroom will be required, reflecting the likelihood that each occupant is an independent adult with their own transport needs. This standard supports active travel, aligns with the Active Travel (Wales) Act 2013, and can help reduce pressure on car parking provision. The LPA may consider a reduced standard in exceptional circumstances. These include where it can be robustly demonstrated that demand will be lower, or where storage can be provided outside the curtilage of, yet in close proximity to the property. However, lack of bicycle storage may result in refusal of planning permission due to amenity, accessibility or sustainability concerns.
- 6.35 Plans submitted with the planning application should clearly identify where proposed bicycle storage is located. Corridors and landings should not be used for storing bicycles and storage areas will need to be sensitively designed to ensure their regular use does not have an adverse impact on the amenity of residents.
- 6.36 The LPA may use planning conditions to ensure the provision of secure cycle storage for residents of HMOs.

Refuse and Recycling Storage


- 6.37 All proposals will be required to incorporate adequate provision for the secure storage of refuse and recycling materials. This should be separate to any amenity space or clothes drying areas provided for residents, and away from view from street level, wherever possible. The location, design and size of external bin storage areas should be suitable for such as use and should not detract from the character of the locality. Where possible, bins should be

stored to the side or rear of the property. Unimpeded access should be provided to these facilities in a manner that enables occupants to freely move refuse and recycling to the front of the property ready for collection. If the only option is to store refuse and recycling at the front of the property, suitable screening should be provided. All waste and recycling storage areas should be clearly identified on plans submitted with the planning application.

- 6.38 Provision for waste facilities in new build HMOs must comply with Policy ENT15: Waste Movement in New Development in the RLDP.

Clothes Drying Area

- 6.39 A dedicated external area (containing either a rotary or washing line) for clothes drying is recommended in order to reduce the risk of damp and mould forming indoors. Where only internal drying provision is possible, the space provided should be well and securely ventilated, adequately sized for the number of occupants and separate from communal living areas, such as kitchens, bathrooms, or sitting rooms. It should be capable of being heated and enclosed (e.g. with a closable internal door) to prevent condensation spreading to other parts of the property. The clothes drying area must be clearly defined on the submitted plans. Consideration should be given to providing a dryer/washer-dryer for tenants to use.



Amenity space with rotary washing line, Pencoed



Covered bin storage unit, Bridgend

Policy COM7: Criterion 6

'The proposed development would not have an unacceptable adverse impact on residential amenity.'

6.40 In assessing whether a proposed HMO would have an unacceptable adverse impact on residential amenity, consideration should be given to the potential effects on both the occupants of the HMO and neighbouring properties.

Residential amenity includes factors such as:

- Noise and disturbance;
- Privacy;
- Access to natural light and outlook; and
- Adequacy of internal and external amenity space.

6.41 In the context of HMOs, where accommodation is often intensified and shared, it is particularly important to take a wider view of residential amenity that includes the health, safety and well-being of occupants. RLDP Policies *SP3: Good Design and Sustainable Placemaking* and *SP8: Health and Well-*

being set out key criteria for ensuring that development supports people's health and well-being.

- 6.42 Internal floor dimensions of living spaces are considered an important element of maintaining appropriate amenity standards and providing for healthy and attractive environments. This applies to both new buildings and conversions. For example, the conversion of existing buildings to HMOs should not result in over-intensive residential use that would give rise to cramped living conditions and/or rooms with insufficient windows. To ensure proposals do not have an unacceptable adverse impact on residential amenity, applicants should seek to ensure appropriate room sizes, and the SRS licensing standards attached at Appendix B can be used as a guide in these respects.
- 6.43 SRS also require a readily accessible bathroom/shower room be not more than one floor away from each bedroom, and that the number provided supports the number of occupants. Minimum standards are also provided for Water Closets (WCs). The LPA regards these standards as best practice and therefore applicants are encouraged to apply the same standards for all HMOs, regardless of size. Refer to Appendix B.

Outdoor Amenity Space

- 6.44 HMOs should provide outdoor amenity space in which residents can relax. Amenity spaces provided should be an appropriate size for the number of occupants and accessible to all residents at all times. They should be separate to space used for activities such as clothes drying and storing refuse/recycling and bicycles. The retention of existing gardens is recommended to support biodiversity, for amenity value and to help reduce surface water flooding.

Protecting the Amenity of Neighbouring Uses

- 6.45 In line with Policy SP3 of the RLDP, HMOs must be designed to avoid unacceptable adverse impacts on the amenity of neighbouring occupiers. Proposals should prevent overlooking, overshadowing, and the creation of adverse microclimatic conditions through careful site layout and design.

HMOs Above/Adjoining Commercial Premises

- 6.46 If a proposal for an HMO adjoins a commercial premises, amenity space should be provided to minimise disturbance due to noise. HMOs above shops or other commercial premises should have their own separate entrance to the street frontage.

HMOs and Security

- 6.47 Applicants are encouraged to design HMOs in accordance with Secured by Design (SBD) principles and are advised to aim to achieve the SBD 'Gold' award (an award that acknowledges crime and anti-social behaviour reduction measures relating to layout, environmental design and the use of Police Preferred Specification products), where practicable.

Material Considerations

- 6.48 Planning decisions must be based on land use impacts and material planning considerations, not the identity or personal characteristics of future occupants. It is not appropriate, or lawful, to refuse planning permission for an HMO on the basis of assumptions about who may live there, including concerns about perceived behaviour, lifestyle, or potential for criminal activity. For example, it would not be acceptable to oppose an HMO application on the grounds that:
- The property may be occupied by students, young people, or the unemployed, and that this could lead to anti-social behaviour;
 - There is a belief that future residents may not 'fit in' with the surrounding community; or
 - There are generalised fears that HMOs lead to crime without evidence of a land-use impact.

Appendix A – Submission Requirements for Applicants

- Application form
- Site location plan
- Block plan of the site
- Existing and proposed floor plan, including internal floor areas for each room – floor plans should clearly identify proposed room uses, including bedrooms, communal spaces and the location of any opening windows. It should be indicated what each room will be used for and how many people in each room. For bedrooms, the plans must also indicate the maximum number of occupants. Also, the location of bedrooms in relation to communal areas must be clear.
- Details of waste and recycling
- Details of bicycle parking
- Details of external amenity space
- Details of drying space
- Elevation plans where any extensions or new openings such as windows and doors are proposed
- Supporting statement including details of proposed parking provision (car and bicycle)
- Any supporting evidence; for example, parking surveys, information about local parking provision, etc.

Appendix B – HMO Licensing Standards

While these standards are not planning standards and cannot be imposed or enforced as such, the LPA recommends use of these licensing standards as a 'best practice' guide to ensure appropriate room sizes and amenity standards in HMOs.

Part One: HMO Space Standards

Space Requirements for Shared Accommodation with Shared Facilities

(includes the kitchen, lounge, bathroom, and toilet)

Table 1: Bedroom Space Standards

Room	Size	Configuration	Space Requirements
Bedroom(s)	Single	With separate lounge	6.5m ²
		Without separate lounge	10m ²
	Double	With separate lounge	10m ²
		Without separate lounge	15m ²

Table 2: Kitchen Space Standards

Room	Number of Occupants	Space Requirements
Kitchen	1-2 person/s	5.5m ²
	3-6 persons	7m ²
	7-10 persons	10.5m ²

Space Requirements for Self-Contained Accommodation

(exclusive use of own facilities)

Table 3: Self-Contained Accommodation Space Standards

Accommodation Type	Number of Rooms	Configuration	Space Requirements
Single Bedsit/Flat	One room	Lounge/Kitchen/Bedroom	13m ²
Double Bedsit/Flat			15m ²
Single Bedsit/Flat	Two rooms	Lounge/Kitchen	10m ²
		With separate bedroom	6.5m ²
Double Bedsit/Flat		Lounge/Kitchen	13m ²
Double Bedsit/Flat		With separate bedroom	11m ²
Single Bedsit/Flat	Two rooms	Lounge/Bedroom	10m ²
		With separate kitchen	5.5m ²
Double Bedsit/Flat		Lounge/Bedroom	15m ²
		With separate kitchen	5.5m ²

Part Two: HMO Amenity Standards

Bathroom and Toilet Amenities within Shared Accommodation

Table 4: Bathroom/WC Facility Standards

	Number of Occupants	Quantity	Configuration
*Toilets (WCs):	Up to 4 occupants	1 WC	May be in bathroom/shower room
	5 occupants	1 WC	In a separate compartment
	6 occupants	2 WC	May be in bathroom/shower room
	Between 7-10 occupants	2 WC	1 WC to be in a separate compartment to the room containing bath/shower
	Between 11 – 15 occupants	3 WC	1 WC to be in a separate compartment to the rooms containing baths/showers

*Each WC to include a wash hand basin with an adequate supply of cold water and constant hot water.

Table 5: Bathroom Requirements

	Number of occupants	Quantity
*Bathroom:	Every 5 occupants	1 bathroom

* Each bathroom to contain a bath or shower with an adequate supply of cold water and constant hot water, but not necessarily a toilet or wash hand basin.

Toilets and bathrooms to be provided in an enclosed and adequately laid out and ventilated room, either:

- Within the living accommodation; or
- Within reasonable proximity to the living accommodation.

Bathroom and Toilet Amenities within Self-Contained Accommodation

Where bathroom facilities are for the exclusive use of an individual household, i.e. in self-contained flats or individual bedsits, they are to include:

- A toilet
- A bath or shower with an adequate supply of cold and constant hot water.
- A wash hand basin.

Toilets and bathrooms to be provided in an enclosed and adequately laid out and ventilated room, either:

- Within the living accommodation; or
- Within reasonable proximity to the living accommodation.

Kitchen Amenities within Shared Accommodation

It is recommended that at least 2 double electrical sockets are provided in addition to the cooker socket.

Table 6: Kitchen Amenities Requirements

Amenity	Number of Occupants	Configuration
Cooker	Up to 5 occupants	1 full cooker - (1 oven and 4 hobs)
	Up to 7 occupants	1 full cooker – (1 oven and 4 hobs) <u>AND</u> at least one alternative cooking option (e.g. air fryer/microwave, etc.)
Sink	Up to 5 occupants	1 sink - (With hot and cold water and draining board)
	Up to 7 occupants	1 sink – (With hot and cold water and a draining board) <u>AND</u> a dishwasher.
Work Surface	Up to 5 occupants	2.0 linear metres Note – (a work surface of at least 500m must be sited adjacent to each cooker).
	Each occupant thereafter	An additional 0.5 linear metres per occupant
Dry Goods Storage	Per occupant	1 base unit (500mm) OR 1 wall unit (1,000mm) Note – (space under sink unit & drainer not

		allowable for food storage).
Refrigerated Storage	Per occupant	1 shelf in a refrigerator <u>AND</u> 1 shelf in a freezer, per person.

Kitchen Amenities within Self-Contained Accommodation

Where kitchen facilities are for the exclusive use of an individual household. i.e. in a self-contained flat, or individual bedsit, the following is to be provided:

- A cooker of adequate size to include 2 – 4 ring hobs with oven or a microwave.
- A sink unit (with drainer) with adequate supply of cold and constant hot water.
- A work top for food preparation, of minimum size 1m x 0.6m
- A work surface of at least 500mm must be sited adjacent to each cooker.
- A standard under-counter size fridge as a minimum AND a freezer to be provided (*in addition to the fridge*) OR one standard fridge/freezer would meet this requirement.
- A cupboard for food and utensil storage, of minimum size 500mm standard base OR wall unit (1,000mm).
- Sufficient electrical sockets. It is recommended that at least 2 double sockets are provided in addition to the cooker socket.

Appendix C – Methodology for Assessing Parking Impact

The following methodology should be used to assess whether a proposal will have an effect on parking provision.

Step 1: Site Accessibility and Context

Applicants must provide an assessment of the site's accessibility, including:

- Distance to bus stops, railway stations and frequency of services;
- Quality and connectivity of walking and cycling routes;
- Access to local amenities (i.e. shops, schools, employment hubs, etc.); and
- Presence of car clubs or shared mobility options.

This will provide context for car dependency and potential vehicle ownership for both the existing and proposed use.

Step 2: Calculate Parking Demand – Existing Use

Calculate the likely parking demand of the existing use by considering:

- Household size and composition;
- Local car ownership data (e.g. latest census or local surveys);
- The number of bedrooms and available off-street parking.

Step 3: Calculate Parking Demand – Proposed HMO

Calculate parking demand generated by the proposed HMO, taking into account:

- Expected number of residents and their typical car ownership profile;
- Accessibility data from Step 1; and
- Comparable data from existing HMOs in similar locations.

Step 4: Net Impact Assessment

Compare the calculated parking demand of the existing and proposed use:

- If there is no net increase in likely vehicle demand, the proposal is unlikely to have an adverse impact.

- If there is a net increase, further evidence is required to demonstrate this can be accommodated (proceed to step 5).

Step 5: Assess Local Capacity and Parking Stress

If additional demand for parking is expected, the applicant must demonstrate that the local area can accommodate the net increase. This may involve:

- Providing evidence of available off-street parking provision; and
- Undertaking a parking survey of the surrounding streets to assess existing parking stress and capacity. In all cases, the survey should:
 - Follow a methodology agreed with the Highways Authority (e.g. Overnight surveys);
 - Include weekday and weekend data;
 - Cover a reasonable radius (typically 100-200m walking distance);
and
 - Present clear data on the number and occupancy of on-street spaces.

Table 7: Parking Capacity vs Demand Checklist

Step	Requirement	What to Submit
1. Site Accessibility and Context	Demonstrate the site's accessibility by sustainable travel modes and proximity to services.	Map or written statement showing:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distance to bus stops and frequency of services • Proximity to railway stations • Walking and cycling routes • Nearby services and amenities • Any car clubs or shared mobility schemes.
2. Parking Demand – Existing Use	Calculate typical car ownership for current (C3) use.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description of current/former use – including plans • Household size or composition • Estimated car ownership level (with data source) • Existing off-street parking availability.
3. Parking Demand – Proposed HMO	Calculate parking demand for the proposed HMO.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expected number of occupants – including proposed plans • Car ownership assumptions based on

		<p>local evidence or comparable HMOs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference to accessibility factors from Step 1.
4. Net Impact Assessment	Compare existing and proposed parking demand.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Table or written summary comparing both scenarios • Clear statement on whether there is a net increase in demand.
5. Local Parking Capacity / Parking Survey	If net demand increases, assess whether this can be accommodated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of any proposed on-site parking provision • If relying on on-street parking: a parking *survey following an agreed methodology * Survey must include:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Map of surveyed area (100m-200m radius) which includes any traffic restrictions ○ Weekday and weekend overnight occupancy ○ Number and type of available spaces ○ Summary of capacity vs demand.

Cyngor Bwrdeistref Sirol



This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 2: Consultation Representations, Responses and Resultant Actions

Do you have any comments on the proposed additional guidance for criterion 1 of adopted Policy COM7, which requires HMO proposals to <i>'not lead to more than 10% of all residential properties within a 50m radius of the proposal being HMOs'</i> ?	
Organisation	Bridgend County Borough Council (Elected Member)
Representation	This might be further qualified by also taking into account the proximity of non-HMO residential properties such as Purpose Built Student Accommodation (PBSAs). There might also be a case for including hotel premises used for the provision of temporary housing.
Local Planning Authority response	<p>The SPG has been prepared to provide additional guidance on the application of adopted Replacement Local Development Plan (RLDP) policies. It does not introduce new policy and cannot depart from, override, or amend the policies of the RLDP. As criterion 1 of Policy COM7 states that proposals for HMOs should <i>'not lead to more than 10% of all residential properties within a 50m radius of the proposal being HMOs'</i>, the SPG is limited to the consideration of properties that fall under the definition of an HMO in planning terms.</p> <p>PBSAs generally fall under the 'Sui Generis' use class, not use class C4 (HMOs), as stated under paragraph 5.5 of the SPG. Hotel premises used for the provision of temporary housing does not automatically become an HMO in planning terms unless its use fundamentally shifts to long-term residential occupation. The Welsh Government's 'Houses in Multiple Occupation: Practice Guidance' (March, 2017) states that, <i>'to fall within the 'house in multiple occupation' definition a property must be occupied as the main residence'</i>. If the occupancy is short-term, it is considered under the 'Sui Generis' use class. However, if the hotel becomes used predominantly as long-term shared living accommodation for unrelated adults, where the residents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Live there as their main residence • Share cooking or washing facilities

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • And live broadly like an HMO... <p>...then the use could be considered to have changed to an HMO and a planning application would be required. If such a change of use occurs, it will be considered against criterion 1 for each new HMO planning application within a 50m radius thereafter.</p>
Resultant action	Add a sentence stating under paragraph 5.5 clarifying that hotel premises used for temporary accommodation are generally not considered as HMOs. This will help to clarify when a building of this kind should be considered against criterion 1 of Policy COM7.
Do you have any other comments to make on the proposed Houses in Multiple Occupation SPG?	
Organisation	Bridgend County Borough Council (Elected Member)
Representation	<p>The reference to space standards in Appendix B is welcomed, so to align planning and housing enforcement considerations.</p> <p>I suggest that the term “should” on Page 13 5.6 be substituted with “will” so to read as follows:- <i>However, Shared Regulatory Services (SRS), who oversee the licensing and management HMOs in Bridgend County Borough, should will be consulted on planning applications for HMOs to ensure alignment between planning and housing enforcement considerations.</i></p> <p>This is to ensure that SRS is consulted on each occasion.</p> <p>Further guidance might be required to deal with situations where an objection is received from SRS on the grounds of insufficient room sizes.</p>
Local Planning Authority response	The respondent’s support for the SPG’s reference to space standards in Appendix B is noted. Their suggestion to alter the wording in paragraph 5.6 is agreeable as this would help to strengthen the alignment between licensing standards and planning requirements, as suggested. It should be

	<p>noted, however that the SPG is not able to enforce licensing standards for planning proposals for HMOs.</p> <p>Regarding the point made about guidance for SRS objections on the grounds of insufficient room sizes, the RLDP does not contain an adopted policy on minimum room sizes for HMOs and it is beyond the scope of an SPG to specify new policy requirements in this manner. However, any objections raised by SRS in relation to specific planning applications would be considered accordingly through the development management process.</p>
Resultant action	Alter the wording in paragraph 5.6 so it reads: ' <i>However, Shared Regulatory Services (SRS), who oversee the licensing and management of HMOs in Bridgend County Borough, should will be consulted on all planning applications for HMOs to ensure alignment between planning and housing enforcement considerations</i> '.
General comments	
Organisation	South Wales Police
Representation	<p>Secured by Design (SBD) is the official police security initiative to encourage the adoption of crime prevention methods and standards in new and existing housing. It aims to achieve a good standard of security for both the home and the surrounding environment:</p> <p>Welsh Government have been supportive of Designing out Crime and Secured by Design as shown by the following statements in documents:</p> <p>Welsh Government's Technical Advice Note (TAN)12.</p> <p>Paragraph 5.17.3 of TAN 12 states "The Safer Places and Secured by Design Initiative provide recognised standards, that have been shown to reduce crime (particularly residential burglary) and the impact of crime upon neighbourhoods. It is desirable for the security of all housing</p>

developments, public buildings, and all buildings funded by public bodies, to achieve similar measurable standards.”

Planning Policy Wales (PPW) 2024:

PPW Wales states under 3.11 “Local authorities are under a legal obligation to consider the need to prevent and reduce crime and disorder in all decisions that they take. Crime prevention and fear of crime are social considerations to which regard should be given in the preparation of development plans and taking planning decisions. The aim should be to produce safe environments that do not compromise on design quality in accordance with the cohesive communities well-being goal.”

As can be seen from the above Welsh Government have addressed community safety and crime prevention in guides and legislation, and been supportive of Secured by Design.

UK Government Policy places a duty on local authorities through Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act:

Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act.

Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act requires local authorities to consider crime and disorder implications in all their authorities and functions and do all that they reasonably can do all they can do to reduce these problems.

In respect of social housing in Wales, Welsh Government recognises the importance of having homes that are safe and secure for our communities, homes that house some of our most vulnerable people in society and are supportive and realise the value of Secured by Design. This is shown by Welsh Government requirements in the following:

Welsh Development Quality Requirements (DQR) 2021 Creating Beautiful Homes and Places

In the DQR all Welsh Government grant funded social housing in Wales must meet the Secured by Design Gold Standard to meet the Development Quality Requirements (DQR) set out in Beautiful Homes and Spaces.

Welsh Housing Quality Standards (WHQS) 2023.

The Welsh Housing Quality Standards aim to improve the quality of social housing in Wales, ensuring that all social homes meet specific criteria for safety, comfort and environmental sustainability. The following security standards are specified in WHQS:

“External doors and windows must provide a reasonable level of physical security. A home has a ‘reasonable level of security’ if it is capable of complying with ‘Secured by Design’ (SBD), although it may not necessarily have an SBD certificate.

When fitting new external doors and windows: the replacements must comply with the product specifications for external doors and windows stated within the most recent edition of ‘Secured by Design’ and be independently certified as such.

When retaining existing doors or windows: delivering a reasonable level of security can be achieved by modification of existing installations to comply with SBD. Components, hardware and glazing used in modifications must comply with the product and material specifications stated within the most recent edition of ‘Secured by Design’ and be independently certified as such.”

Houses in multiple occupation provide accommodation for some of the most vulnerable people in society. Quite often HMO’s house people who are not known to each other in shared accommodation. Women and girls also live in HMO’s and violence against women and girls is high on the agenda of everyone.

Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG).

The harm caused to victims and society by violence against women and girls (VAWG) in all its forms, including but not limited to, harassment, stalking, rape, sexual assault, murder, honour-based abuse and coercive control is incalculable. While men and boys also suffer from many of these forms of abuse, they disproportionately affect women.

In spring 2023, the Home Secretary announced Violence Against Women and Girls as a national threat and included it within the 9 Strategic Policing Requirement (SPR) alongside terrorism, serious and organised crime and child sexual abuse.

Secured by Design can assist with ensuring that the built environment in all its forms, is designed to reduce the opportunity of Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG) and contribute to such places feeling safe, to live.

In the consultation document security is not mentioned. Therefore I would ask that there be a heading in the SPG called Security of HMO's. Under this heading I would ask that the SPG states the following:

HMO's that are new builds, must meet the standards specified in the Welsh Government's Welsh Development Quality Requirements (DQR) 2021 Creating Beautiful Homes and Places and must meet Secured by Design Gold standard.

In respect of existing properties that are converted to HMO's I would ask that they meet the security standards specified in WHQS.

In addition security standards for bedroom doors are not specified in the Secured by Design Residential Guide. Therefore I would ask that in addition to the advice given in the SBD Guide, the

	<p>SPG states that in houses of multiple occupancy, bedroom doors should meet Secured by Design standards i.e. PAS 24 2022 or equivalent.</p> <p>Further information in respect of Secured by Design can be found on the website www.securedbydesign.com.</p>
<p>Local Planning Authority response</p>	<p>The LPA agrees that ensuring the security of HMOs and the people living within them and nearby is of the utmost importance. The respondent's suggestion to include a separate heading within the SPG titled 'HMOs and Security' is therefore accepted.</p> <p>However, it should be noted that Planning Policy Wales only refers to the application of the WDQR standards to affordable housing (as defined by Technical Advice Note (TAN) 2). They are not applicable to private new build HMOs and therefore it is beyond the scope of an SPG to necessitate this standard to be applied to all HMOs. Similarly, the Welsh Housing Quality Standards are national minimum standards for social housing owned or managed by local authorities and Registered Social Landlords (RSLs). It would be beyond the scope of this SPG to require application of these standards to all HMOs, including those privately owned and managed.</p> <p>The specification of internal security features, including Secured by Design standards for individual bedroom doors, is a matter for HMO licensing and building regulations rather than planning*. These detailed measures fall outside the scope of planning control and therefore cannot be referred to in the SPG.</p> <p>The SPG is able to <i>encourage</i> applicants to design proposals to that of Secured by Design 'Gold' standard. However, it cannot require them to adopt such standards as these are not specified in either local or national planning policy.</p>

Resultant action	<p>Insert a new headed section under the heading, 'Policy COM7: Criterion 6' of the SPG, titled 'HMOs and Security':</p> <p><i><u>HMOs and Security</u></i></p> <p><i>Applicants are encouraged to design HMOs in accordance with Secured by Design (SBD) principles and are advised to aim to achieve the SBD 'Gold' award (an award that acknowledges crime and anti-social behaviour reduction measures relating to layout, environmental design and the use of Police Preferred Specification products), where practicable.</i></p> <p><u>Guidance note</u></p> <p>*For clarity, we have produced a 2-page document titled 'A Simple Guide to Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations for Houses in Multiple Occupation', which is attached as an additional Appendix (Appendix 3) alongside this report. Its purpose is to clearly and simply set out what each regulatory regime – Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations – can achieve in relation to the management of HMOs.</p>
Member of the public	<p>No. 01</p>
Representation	<p>Is this hmo for Bridgend homeless, if it for the permanent holiday makers. How dare you put these men in our community. House prices will drop, crime, rape thefts. They looking for one of these men after an incident in Maesteg. How about protecting the people who live here & pay taxes so they can stay I and have everything free. We are in a cost of living crisis and homeless. I am appalled that you want to turn us into a third world. You should go around bridgend and ask the people who live if they approve for hmo for local homeless or for immigrants. I say no and so would all of Maesteg and Bridgend. We did not invite them we don't want them send them back to France it safe there.</p>
Local Planning Authority response	<p>The draft HMO SPG provides additional planning guidance for HMO planning applications, but does not propose any specific HMOs across the County Borough. The occupation of HMOs is beyond the scope of the land use planning system.</p>

Resultant action	None required.
Member of the public	No. 02
Representation	I cannot find your consultation document on Hoses of Multiple Occupancy. However, I would like to say I certainly wouldn't not like one anywhere near where I live. If there was one it would make me feel very unsafe and would mean I wouldn't go out alone day or night.
Local Planning Authority response	The draft HMO SPG provides additional planning guidance for HMO planning applications, but does not propose any specific HMOs across the County Borough. The occupation of HMOs is beyond the scope of the land use planning system
Resultant action	None required.

Proposed SPG changes as a result of the consultation

The paragraphs proposed for amendment following the consultation are detailed below, for the reasons explained in the previous table. Strikethrough text is used to indicate proposed deletions from the SPG, whereas blue text is used to indicate proposed additions to the SPG. Only paragraphs proposed for amendment are included below, there are no proposed changes to the remainder of the draft SPG following consultation. The final draft version of the SPG (**Appendix 1**) incorporates the proposed amendments below.

1. Insert a bullet point under paragraph 5.5 clarifying that hotel premises used for temporary accommodation are generally not considered as HMOs, unless in specific circumstances. This paragraph sits underneath a sub-heading titled 'Exclusions', and specifically sets out which types of residential arrangements that are not typically considered HMOs for planning purposes. Such proposals will not be considered against Policy COM7. The inserted wording (amendment) will read as follows:

'Hotel premises used for short-term temporary accommodation – A hotel building used to provide temporary accommodation for non-holiday residents, such as homeless households, which does not operate as a hotel in the conventional sense, is typically regarded as a 'sui generis' use.'

2. Alter the wording of the fourth sentence of paragraph 5.6, an insertion to require the consultation of Shared Regulatory Services (who manage HMO Licensing) on all HMO planning applications. This will now read as follows:

'However, Shared Regulatory Services (SRS), who oversee the licensing and management of HMOs in Bridgend County Borough, ~~should~~ will be consulted on all planning applications for HMOs to ensure alignment between planning and housing enforcement considerations.'

3. Insert a new headed section under the heading, 'Policy COM7: Criterion 6' of the SPG, titled 'HMOs and Security' after paragraph 6.46, as paragraph 6.47, to read as follows:

'HMOs and Security

Applicants are encouraged to design HMOs in accordance with Secured by Design (SBD) principles and are advised to aim to achieve the SBD 'Gold' award (an award that acknowledges crime and anti-social behaviour reduction measures relating to layout, environmental design and the use of Police Preferred Specification products), where practicable.'

A Guide to Planning, Licensing and Building Regulations for Houses in Multiple Occupation

1. Introduction

Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) are regulated through three separate regimes: **planning, licensing, and building regulations**. These regimes often overlap but each deal with different aspects of HMOs. It is common for applicants, landlords, and residents to be unsure which rules apply to which issues.

This short guide sets out, in clear terms, the role of each regulatory regime and the issues they cover, supported by a summary table of responsibilities.

2. Planning

Planning regulates land use, development, and the impact of HMOs on their surroundings. It focuses on the *impacts* of an HMO, not its day-to-day management or specifics regarding the identity of occupiers (only the use of land/property and its *nature of occupation*).

Planning covers:

- **Change of use** to an HMO (e.g., C3 (dwellinghouse) → C4 (House in multiple occupation), large HMO sui generis (uses that do not fall within any other use class)).
- **Planning applications for new-build HMOs.**
- **Impact on local character:** avoiding over-concentrations of HMOs, amenity, community balance, intensity of use.
- **Impact on neighbours (residential amenity):** including the potential for noise and disturbance (*not* noise complaint management).
- **Physical extensions or alterations** requiring planning permission.
- **External design and appearance.**
- **Amenity standards** (planning level): communal spaces, bin storage, clothes drying area, etc. (if specified in Local Development Plan policy).
- **Location-related issues:** i.e. access, parking pressure, cycle storage.

3. Licensing

Licensing focuses on the safe and proper management of HMOs and the suitability of landlords and agents. It is concerned with health, safety and the welfare of occupiers. While issued by Shared Regulatory Services' (SRS) Licensing team, licensing standards are enforced by Environmental Health.

Licensing covers:

- **Mandatory HMO licensing:** properties with 5 or more residents forming more than one household; comprising three or more storeys; and sharing basic amenities.

- **Additional or selective licensing schemes** for smaller HMOs (not applied by Bridgend County Borough Council).
- **Sets minimum room sizes and ensures there are sufficient facilities**
- **Suitability of the landlord/agent**
- **Management standards**, including:
 - Providing information to occupiers;
 - Taking fire and general safety measures;
 - Maintaining water, gas, electricity supplies;
 - Keeping common parts, fittings, and appliances in good order;
 - Maintaining living accommodation; and
 - Providing proper waste disposal.
- **Assesses housing standards under the Housing Health and Safety Rating System**
- **Overcrowding and maximum occupancy**
- **Conditions requiring ongoing compliance**

4. Building Regulations

Building Regulations ensure HMO development meets minimum safety / quality standards.

Building regulations cover:

- Ensure compliance with standards relating to:
 - Fire safety (means of escape, fire doors, protected corridors, signage, etc.);
 - Emergency lighting;
 - Structural safety;
 - Sound performance standards;
 - Drainage, sanitation, ventilation; and
 - Thermal performance and energy efficiency.
- Apply when a property is converted, extended, or altered for use as an HMO. Houses/Flats converted to HMOs that are occupied by people who share a tenancy, share bills and where the property does not have individual locks on doors would *not* be required to apply for building regulations approval.

5. Summary: Who covers what?

Issue/Requirement	Planning	Licensing	Building Regs
Change of use to an HMO	✓	✗	✗
Neighbour/Amenity impacts*	✓	✗	✗
Parking, access, waste storage	✓	✗	✗
Room sizes	✗	✓	✗
Occupancy levels	✗	✓	✗
Fire safety systems	✗	✓	✓
Thermal performance	✗	✗	✓
Gas/Electrical safety checks	✗	✓	Partial coverage
Condition & management	✗	✓	✗

*Planning considers only potential amenity impacts, not noise-complaint enforcement.

Agenda Item 8

Meeting of:	COUNCIL
Date of Meeting:	4 FEBRUARY 2026
Report Title:	INFORMATION REPORT FOR NOTING
Report Owner: Responsible Chief Officer / Cabinet Member	CHIEF OFFICER – LEGAL AND REGULATORY SERVICES, HR AND CORPORATE POLICY CABINET MEMBER – FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE
Responsible Officer:	OSCAR ROBERTS – TEMPORARY DEMOCRATIC SERVICES OFFICER - COMMITTEES
Policy Framework and Procedure Rules:	There is no effect upon the policy framework and procedure rules.
Executive Summary:	To update Council with an information report published since the last Cabinet meeting

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to inform Council of the Information Report for noting that has been published since its last scheduled meeting.

2. Background

- 2.1 It was previously resolved to approve a revised procedure for the presentation to Cabinet and Council of Information Reports for noting.

3. Current situation / proposal

3.1 Information Report

The following Information Report has been published since the last meeting of Council:-

Title

Date Published

Urgent Delegated Decision

28 January 2026

3.2 Availability of Document

The document has been circulated to Elected Members electronically via

email and placed on the Bridgend County Borough Council website. The document is available from the above date of publication.

4. Equality implications (including Socio-economic Duty and Welsh Language)

- 4.1 The protected characteristics identified within the Equality Act, Socio-economic Duty and the impact on the use of the Welsh Language have been considered in the preparation of this report. As a public body in Wales the Council must consider the impact of strategic decisions, such as the development or the review of policies, strategies, services and functions. This is an information report, therefore it is not necessary to carry out an Equality Impact assessment in the production of this report. It is considered that there will be no significant or unacceptable equality impacts as a result of this report.

5. Well-being of Future Generations Implications and Connection to Corporate Well-being Objectives

- 5.1 The well-being goals identified in the Act were considered in the preparation of this report. It is considered that there will be no significant or unacceptable impacts upon the achievement of well-being goals/objectives as a result of this report.

6. Climate Change and Nature Implications

- 6.1 There are no climate change and nature implications from this report.

7. Safeguarding and Corporate Parent Implications

- 7.1 There are no safeguarding and corporate parent implications from this report.

8. Financial Implications

- 8.1 There are no financial implications in relation to this report.

9. Recommendation

- 9.1 That Council acknowledges the publication of the report referred to in paragraph 3.1 above.

Background documents

None

Meeting of:	COUNCIL
Date of Meeting:	4 FEBRUARY 2026
Report Title:	URGENT DELEGATED DECISION
Report Owner / Responsible Chief Officer/Cabinet member:	CHIEF OFFICER – LEGAL AND REGULATORY SERVICES, HR AND CORPORATE POLICY CABINET MEMBER FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE
Responsible Officer:	MARK GALVIN – SENIOR DEMOCRATIC SERVICES OFFICER - COMMITTEES
Policy Framework and Procedure Rules:	There is no effect upon the policy framework and procedure rules.
Executive Summary:	To report to Council a Delegated Power decision executed under the Scheme of Delegation of Functions, as a matter of urgency.

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to report to Council a delegated decision executed as a matter of urgency under Scheme A of the Scheme of Delegation of Functions.

2. Background

- 2.1 Delegated decisions taken as a matter of urgency must be reported to Council in accordance with the Overview & Scrutiny Procedure Rules which are to be found within the Constitution.

3. Current situation / proposal

- 3.1 The urgent decision taken and therefore by-passing the call-in procedure (as set out within the relevant provision of the Constitution), is summarised below:-

Scheme A 1.1

CMM-PRU-25-113 – Submission of the Council's response to a Welsh Government consultation on the Local Growth Fund (LGF) in relation to the development of a LGF programme.

4. Equality implications (including Socio-economic Duty and Welsh Language)

4.1 The protected characteristics identified within the Equality Act, Socio-economic Duty and the impact on the use of the Welsh Language have been considered in the preparation of this report. As a public body in Wales the Council must consider the impact of strategic decisions, such as the development or the review of policies, strategies, services and functions. This is an information report, therefore it is not necessary to carry out an Equality Impact assessment in the production of this report. It is considered that there will be no significant or unacceptable equality impacts as a result of this report.

5. Well-being of Future Generations implications and connection to Corporate Well-being Objectives

5.1 The well-being goals identified in the Act were considered in the preparation of this report. It is considered that there will be no significant or unacceptable impacts upon the achievement of well-being goals/objectives as a result of this report.

6. Climate Change and Nature Implications

6.1 There are no Climate Change and Nature Implications in relation to this report.

7. Safeguarding and Corporate Parent Implications

7.1 There are no Safeguarding and Corporate Parent Implications from this report.

8. Financial Implications

8.1 There are no financial implications with regard to this report.

9. Recommendation

9.1 It is recommended that Council notes the report.

Background documents

None